The Second Economy and the Destabilizing Effect of its Growth on the State Economy in the Soviet Union: 1965-1989

Vladimir Treml Duke University Michael Alexeev Indiana University

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Recognition of Existence of the Second Economy by Soviet Authorities	6
Linking the First and the Second Economies Through Official Statistics	10
Implications of Second-Economy Growth for System Efficiency	23
Research Agenda	28
Appendix A: Description of the Date Used in the Study	30
Definitions and Sourece of Data	31
Appendix B: Summary of Regression Results with Money Income as the Independent Variable	57
Appendix C: Extending Our Analysis to an Earlier Period	71
References	73

List of Tables and Diagrams

Table 1. R ² for Selected Dependent Variables Regressed on Income	11
Diagram 1: Saving over Income (Russia)	12
Diagram 2: Trade and Services over Income (Russia)	13
Diagram 3: Alcohol over Income (Russia)	13
Diagram 4: Food Products over Income (Russia)	14
Diagram 5: Trade and Services over Income (Ukraine)	14
Diagram 6: Alcohol and Sugar over Income (Ukraine)	15
Diagram 7: Selected Food Products over Income (Ukraine)	15
Table A1. Russia. Money Income of the Population, Rubles	34
Table A2. Russia. Bank Savings Deposits, Rubles, End of the Year	35
Table A3. Russia. Sales of Alcoholic Beverages in Retail Trade, Rubles	36
Table A4. Russia. Consumption of Pure Alcohol, Liters	37
Table A5. Russia. Consumption of Vodka, Liters	38
Table A6. Russia. Consumption of Wine, Liters	39
Table A7. Russia. Consumption of Beer, Liters	40
Table A8. Russia. Sales in State Retail Trade (All Trade)	41
Table A9. Russia. Sales of Food Products in Retail Trade, Rubles	42
Table A10. Russia. Sales of Nonfood Products in Retail Trade, Rubles	43
Table A11. Russia. Public Dining in State Retail Trade. Rubles	44
Table A12. Russia. Consumer Services, Rubles	45
Table A13. Russia. Consumption of Bread in Kg	46
Table A14. Russia. Delivery of Fish to State Retail Trade in Kg	47

Table A15. Russia. Delivery of Eggs to State Retail Trade (units)	48
Table A16. Russia. Consumption of Sugar in Kg	49
Table A17. Russia. Delivery of Meat to State Retail Trade in Kg	50
Table A18. Russia. Delivery of Milk to State Retail Trade in Kg	51
Table A19. Ukraine. Money Income of the Population	52
Table A20. Ukraine. Bank Savings, End of 1989	52
Table A21. Ukraine. Sales of Alcoholic Beverages in Rubles and Consumption of Pure Alcohol in Liters	53
Table A22. Ukraine. Sales in State Retail Trade	53
Table A23. Ukraine. Sales of Food and Nonfood Products in State Trade	54
Table A24. Ukraine. State Public Dining	54
Table A25. Ukraine. State Consumer Services	55
Table A26. Ukraine. Sales of Sugar, Meat, Sausages in State Trade, Rubles	55
Table A27. Ukraine. Sales of Butter, Milk, Fish and Eggs in State Retail Trade in Rubles	56
Table C1. Regressions of Per Capita Sales of Various Food Products Over Total Retail Sales Uses as a Proxy for Income, 1957, Russia	72

INTRODUCTION1

This study is focused on the second economy² in the Soviet household sector and its links to the official state economy.

The paper examines the relationships between per capita legal money income and such income-dependent variables as per capita savings, and purchases of various goods and services in state trade in a large number of regions in Russia and Ukraine in the period between 1965 and 1989. The relationships display, not unexpectedly, a high degree of correspondence between income and most dependent variables in the 1965-1970 period.³ In this regard the Soviet household sector's income-expenditures behavior was similar to that of other economies, centrally-planned and market. The interesting phenomenon is that after the starting years of our study, 1965 for Russia and 1970 for Ukraine, and virtually without exception the close degree of correlation measured by simple cross-sectional regression analysis begins to get weaker and weaker and almost disappears by the end of 1980s. We speculate that the most likely explanation of this phenomenon is the emergence and rapid growth of the second economy. We view the process as follows. Legal state income and transfers to households would be increasingly supplemented by illegal second economy earnings. At the same time patterns of purchases of goods and services through state channels would be increasingly distorted by expanding alternatives available in private or black markets. And, it should be noted, opportunities for earning second economy income and the need to move to alternative markets would vary among households. Thus, some

¹ An earlier version of this paper was read at the annual convention of the American Association for Advancement of Slavic Studies, Honolulu, November 1993. The authors wish to thank Dr. Misha Belkindas for his comments and suggestions.

² We use the definition of the second economy in the USSR proposed by Professor Grossman. According to this definition, "the second economy comprises all production and exchange activity that fulfills at least <u>one</u> of the two following tests: (a) being directly for private gain; (b) being in some significant respect in knowing contravention of existing law." (Grossman, 1977, p. 25.) The classic papers on the topic of the Soviet second economy are Grossman (1977, 1979).

³ The evidence derived from a different set of data stronly suggests that the high degree of correspondence between income and expenditures on basic foods had existed in Russia as far back as 1957 (See Appendix C).

households would enjoy higher incomes without having to pay second economy markups on goods they buy while some would suffer from a decline in their real income caused by higher black market prices without having additional second economy income. The rapid spread of the second economy, therefore, would explain how the orderly and "balanced" relations between income and material flows became gradually disjointed and "unbalanced" as long as planners and economic administrators neglected to take second economy activities into account.⁴ We could also speculate that if this was true the emergence and rapid growth of the second economy since mid-1960s contributed to the deepening economic crisis of the late 1980s and the ultimate disintegration of the Soviet economy.

The study is exclusively based on official Soviet statistics covering only legal or state disorder money flows, particularly on data on legal money incomes broken down by regions which became available recently and our conclusions with respect to the second economy phenomenon are drawn indirectly. The method employed by us can be thus likened to the method used by an astronomer who seeks to discover the existence and trajectories of unseen heavenly bodies by studying perturbations and peculiar behavior of visible ones.

The second economy phenomenon in the Soviet Union has been studied extensively in the West in the last 20 years or so and much was learned about its specific markets, institutions, products, and mechanisms as well as about the overall magnitude of private incomes and employment (Grossman, 1990). There is no doubt that by the late 1970s, the Soviet second economy had grown to be fairly large relative to the first or the official economy. Professor Grossman has estimated that in the late 1970s, private income comprised between 28 and 33 percent of total household income (Grossman, 1987). One of the authors of this study complemented Grossman's income figures by estimating that the second economy employed between 10 and 12 percent of the total labor force (Treml, 1992). These

⁴ "Annual money income and expenditure balances of the population" from which our income data were taken has been one of the key Soviet documents in planning and administering the household sector. These statistics have been used by Gosbank in preparation of cash flow plans, by Gosplan and Ministries of Finance and Domestic Trade in planning retail trade turnover and deliveries, and by other agencies controlling incomes and expenditures of the population. Until the early 1990s both the planning and ex post "balances" were classified (Boyarskii et al., 1986, pp. 20-21; Garbuzov et al., eds, 1984, pp. 69-70; Nazarov, ed. 1982, p. 518-519).

and similar estimates, however, must be taken as first approximations because they depend to a large extent on specific assumptions and statistical weights employed in normalization. The veil of secrecy surrounding second economy activities (most of which being illegal) is an important but not the only explanation of the difficulty of arriving at accurate figures. An equally important factor is that so far there has been no consensus as to statistical conventions, classifications, and accounting rules applicable to second economy phenomena.

Unfortunately, Western studies (and the available Soviet studies discussed below) have failed so far to arrive at any conclusions on two rather important and interrelated aspects of the second economy: the first is the dynamics of second economy over the last 20-25 years, and the second is the impact of the second economy on the overall performance and allocative efficiency of the "first," i.e., the state economy. The greatest difficulty with tracing the dynamics of the second economy has been the lack of reliable and consistent time series aggregate data.

The three major surveys of emigrants from the USSR conducted in recent years cover different time periods, are not directly comparable, and have a number of possible biases.⁵ From these surveys we have learned a great deal about household budgets, regional differences, consumer behavior, housing conditions, employment environment and the like. Unfortunately, these surveys yielded little useful data related to intertemporal changes in private incomes and expenditures. Moreover, only the Berkeley-Duke survey focused explicitly on second economy activities.⁶

The second economy may be large relative to the official state economy but without having some idea of rates of growth of the two it would be difficult to discuss the interaction

⁵ For the survey conducted in Israel see Ofer and Vinokur (1991). Millar (1987) offers a detailed summary of results of the so-called SIP survey.

⁶ The Berkeley-Duke household budget survey covered 1061 households with 2963 individuals who emigrated from different urban regions of the USSR, the largest city subsamples being from Erevan (191 households) and Leningrad (303 households). Central Asian republics were not covered except from a small group of households from Uzbekistan. Households reported on their incomes and expenditures during their "last normal year" in the Soviet Union. The overwhelming majority of responses pertained to the period between 1976 and 1981. The survey paid particular attention to the second economy activities of Soviet households both as producers and consumers. Most studies based on the emigre survey and other sources have been published in BERKELEY-DUKE OCCASIONAL PAPERS ON THE SECOND ECONOMY IN THE USSR series of which 36 issues have appeared so far. Professors Alexeev, Grossman, and Treml served as the principal investigators of the project.

between them and the consequences of this interaction. Suppose, for the sake of argument, that the second economy has always been a relatively large and a constant component of the overall Soviet economy or that its share varied within a narrow range. Then we would have to conclude that over time the two economies have developed a certain modus vivendi allowing them to coexist without overt conflict or even to support and complement each other. If, on the other hand, the shares of the two economies in the total have been changing over time we would infer some alteration in relations which could be of antagonistic or benign nature.

The growth of the second economy is not the only factor affecting the relationships between household incomes and such dependant variables as savings and purchases of different goods and services. During the period examined in this study, i.e., 1965 to 1989, a large number of micro and macro economic, demographic, social, and regulatory changes have taken place in the Soviet Union all or some of which could have influenced these relationships.

Let us consider savings. The two basic forms of savings accounts in the Soviet Union were <u>named accounts</u> and <u>bearer accounts</u> ("schet na predstavitelya") and the latter, because of its anonymity, have always been favored by second economy entrepreneurs. Gosbank essentially obliterated the anonymity in 1978 by instructing savings banks to allow fund withdrawal only upon presentation of passports (Gosbank, 1981, p. 79). A study of savings in one oblast showed that both the number and the average size of bearer accounts' deposits were drastically cut following the change of regulations (Anurin, 1988, p. 54). We could have thus expected that the relationship between household legal incomes and bank savings would have undergone some changes around 1978; in fact, one could argue that a partial removal of funds earned in the second economy from savings accounts would have strengthened the correlation between income and savings as measured in our study.

We could cite many other examples of developments which could have and probably did affect income and expenditures relationships in the 1965-1989 period in the positive or negative direction. In some cases, of course, the effects of these changes could have cancel each other. The continuing deterioration of the strength of these relationships as measured by

R² clearly suggests the presence of a single major factor which dominated the developments in this period, and which we identify as the growth of the second economy.

Some caveats are in order at this point. This study focuses exclusively on income and expenditures within the household sector. The second economy, needless to say, permeates the state sector and affects and distorts relations among state producers, but these effects are outside the scope of this study.

Since we are using cross-sectional data it is important to note at this point that all Western studies and anecdotal evidence from Soviet sources strongly suggest distinctly different regional patterns of second economy activities and transactions. Both in terms of ruble value and frequency of occurrences of transaction and in terms of labor inputs the second economy was more conspicuous in the South (Armenia, Georgia, Azerbaidzhan, and Central Asia), less so in the West and the North (Russia, Ukraine, Byelorussia, and Moldavia), and marginally lower in the Baltics (see for example Grossman, 1979 and 1987). The present study, on the other hand, is focused exclusively on Russia and the Ukraine, i.e., regions with a relatively lower level of per capita second economy activities. It would thus be reasonable to argue that were the necessary statistical data available for the South and other regions of the USSR the findings would be even more striking.

The study is organized as follows. The next section examines the relatively recent recognition of the existence of the second economy by Soviet authorities and their reaction (or, actually, non-reaction) to it. Section 3 analyzes the available official statistics and discusses the method used by us of linking these statistics to the analysis of the dynamics of the second economy. Section 4 addresses the implications of the growth of the second economy for the efficiency of the Soviet economy. Detailed statistical data, notes, and documentation are covered in three appendixes. A bibliography of Western and Soviet sources completes the study.

RECOGNITION OF EXISTENCE OF THE SECOND ECONOMY BY SOVIET AUTHORITIES

Soviet economists and statistical and planning agencies and research institutes were late in recognizing that the second economy was a unique component of the national economy and not just a mere aggregate of economic crimes. Some individual economists have been researching various second economy phenomena since the mid 1970s, but published studies lack documentation and the necessary definitional, classificational and methodological notes.⁷

Tatiana Koriagina, one of the more visible Soviet specialist in issues related to the "shadow economy," published a number of papers and articles in newspapers and academic journals starting in the early 1980s. But as with other Soviet writings her contributions are poorly documented and often contradictory and are closer to "economic journalism" than scholarly research. In several of her papers Koriagina reported her estimates of the overall magnitude of the second economy which grew from approximately 5 billion rubles in the early 1960s to 90 billion rubles in the late 1980s. However, she concedes the approximate nature of her estimates, reporting that the figures for the early 1990 ranged from a low of 20-25 to a high of 150 billion rubles; the increase from the early 1960s thus ranged from fourfold to 30-fold.⁸ Koriagina's estimates of the number of people engaged in the second economy was something less than 8 million in the early 1960s to about 30 million in 1989. The much slower three-fold growth of the labor input compared to the growth in rubles is puzzling unless we are willing to accept the notion of an extremely rapid increase in the rate of remuneration.

⁷Valeriy Rutgaizer, one of the earlier Soviet economists to recognize the importance of the second economy and to initiate research, published a useful summary of Soviet studies in English (Rutgaizer, 1992).

⁸ In order to suggest some order of magnitude we may note that Soviet national income (Net Material Product) in current prices increased from 145 billion rubles in 1960 to 701 billion rubles in 1990. Estimates of second economy income made by Koriagina or others cannot be directly compared to official national income. There is large number of complex accounting issues which we cannot cover here. For example, a large share of second economy income is generated in the service sector but services are not counted in Soviet national income accounts.

Official Soviet statistical agencies did not offer more coherent figures and, in fact, only added to the general confusion. The first recognition of the existence of the second economy in the USSR appeared in a 1989 Goskomstat statistical compendium. According to this sources the "unearned income of the population" (which was apparently equated with the second economy) grew from 3 billion rubles in 1975 to 5.1 billion in 1986 (Goskomstat SSSR, SOTSIAL'NOYE... 1989, p. 99). One year later a similar compendium reported "selected categories of illegal income" for 1989 as 56.5 billion rubles but it was not clear whether second economy incomes increased so rapidly between 1986 and 1989, or whether definitions and the coverage of private activities and transactions had changed between the first and the second report (Goskomstat SSSR, SOTSIAL'NOYE ... 1990, p. 121). The upward revision of second economy estimates continued unabated -- the next year compendium revised the 1989 estimate from 56.5 to 59 billion rubles and reported the 1990 figure as 68.8 billion rubles (Goskomstat SSSR, SOTSIAL'NOYE RAZVITIYE... 1991, p. 127). The latter figure was almost immediately revised upward to 99.8 billion (Goskomstat SSSR, PRESS-VYPUSK, 1991, p. 1). Official Goskomstat SSSR sources while giving more detailed breakdowns of total "illegal incomes" have not, however, offered definitions of various categories or explanations of how the estimates were made.⁹

It can thus be concluded that Soviet sources while offering a rich diet of anecdotal material and some interesting but often ambiguous quantitative references cannot be used to close the gap in our understanding of the record of growth of second economy in the USSR.

Soviet sources on the second economy were equally sparse in the analysis of the interaction between the second and the first economies and the overall impact of the former.¹⁰

⁹ Professor Treml interviewed a number of Goskomstat SSSR officials concerning second economy estimates and was given some internal experimental methodological documents. One reason for the confusion with estimates published in Goskomstat compendia lies with the sources of statistics -- Goskomstat made only a few estimates of specific illegal activities relying on a group of academic institutes and law enforcement and financial agencies for the rest. In each case definitions and methodology of estimation seemed to have been different.

¹⁰ Some economists (e.g. Tatiana Koriagina mentioned above) roughly estimated tax losses produced by the concealment of productive activities but did not go beyond these estimates.

The consensus among Soviet scholars was that the second economy (under which they almost invariably understood both illegal private economic endeavors and purely criminal activities) had an adverse impact on the official state economy because of its corrupting influences, generation of "unearned" and "illegal" incomes and by creating conditions conducive to emergence of organized crime. And, while they clearly perceived the causal relationship between shortages of state-produced consumer goods, state-fixed low prices, and the resulting activities of "speculators," they did not suggest (at least not openly) that without the "speculators" the state distribution system would have operated at an even lower level of efficiency. It can also be said that most Soviet commentators and policy makers going back to Lenin in the 1920s traditionally attributed more sinister significance to black markets than warranted by the historical record or theory. The "speculators," according to the prevalent view, were not mere middlemen benefitting from arbitrage made possible by excess demand but active agents creating artificial shortages by cornering markets, destroying or hoarding goods, and by other monopolistic strategies. Needless to say, this view of black markets was self-serving as it transferred the blame for consumer goods' shortages from state bureaucrats to private entrepreneurs.

A comprehensive analysis of Soviet official attitudes towards private economic activities is beyond the scope of this paper. Even the most perceptive economists of the Gorbachev era such as Shatalin, Petrakov, Yasin, and Men'shikov, to name just a few, in their analysis of the deepening economic crisis of the late 1980s referred vaguely to growing "imbalances" which plagued the system without explicitly identifying economic forces producing these imbalances.¹¹

The most analytically positive was Shatalin's "Five-Hundred Days" program which emphasized the size and the importance of the second economy. The Program stated that "the

¹¹ In a tantalizing but undocumented paragraph, Stanislav Men'shikov refers to an econometric model of the Soviet economy he constructed in the early 1970s in Novosibirsk. The model "... helped to identify the presence of <u>black holes</u> in, among other areas, balances of money income and expenditures of the population ... which could be explained by the existence of a large illegal shadow economy." According to the author, the work on the model was disapproved by the then director of the institute, Abel Aganbegyan. But the author contradicts himself. Later in the book Men'shikov notes that "during Brezhnev's years the growth of underground business proceeded gradually and without surfacing too much" and its growth accelerated only during the years of *perestroika*. (Men'shikov, 1990, pp. 4-5, p. 191).

logic of transition to markets presupposes the utilization of 'shadow' capital in the interest of all people" and described the second economy as an important factor in the resource support of reforms. The authors of the program expected that as much as 90 percent of second economy activities would be absorbed by emerging free markets (Shatalin et al., 1990, pp 124-127).

The complete failure to understand the nature and origins of the second economy and to formulate appropriate policy recommendations could be illustrated by May, 1991, deliberations at the Secretariat of the Central Committee, CPSU, focused on the "shadow economy." Alarming reports on the spread of economic crime were delivered by the head of KGB, Vladimir Kryuchkov, the head of MVD, Boris Pugo, and the Prosecutor General of the USSR, Nikolay Trubin. All second economy phenomena were lumped together as criminal ("economic banditry and mafias") resulting from "violations of economic links, the ruin of consumers markets, attempts of local authorities to administer the markets by noneconomic methods, breaches of deliveries and distribution of consumer goods, and creation of artificial deficits." It is clear from the tone of deliberations that most speakers blamed the rapid spread of the second economy on "perestroika." Policy recommendations discussed at the session was the familiar party drivel -- greater involvement of the Party cadres in the struggle against economic crimes, cooperation with local authorities, and a more forceful promotion of the "party line" with law enforcement agencies were called for ("Protiv...", 1991, p. 2).

In summary we will thus note that for a long time Soviet authorities did not identify or study the second economy; after the presence of large-scale second economy activities was recognized it was viewed as consisting of separate and unrelated phenomena to be controlled by law enforcement agencies and state regulations and not requiring reforms or changes in existing state institutions and economic policies.

LINKING THE FIRST AND THE SECOND ECONOMIES THROUGH OFFICIAL STATISTICS

Certain relationships among economic variables presumably exist in an economic system, whatever its type. For example, savings and consumption should be highly correlated with consumer income in the Soviet as in any other economy, all the more so, since consumer behavior was not explicitly planned even in the USSR.

Of course, in order to establish these relationships one has to measure the relevant variables appropriately. For instance, savings in the state owned savings institutions did not represent the entire monetary savings of the Soviet consumers. At least part of these savings were held in the form of cash, "under mattresses" or, as they say in Russia, "in a *kubyshka*." Similarly, officially recorded income and officially recorded consumption of various goods and services did not adequately reflect the corresponding actual values. Presumably, the less accurately income and other variables are measured, the weaker is the observed relationship between income and these variables.

Using simple linear regressions on cross-sectional data from Russian and Ukrainian regions we evaluated the degree of linear dependency between income and savings, income and retail trade turnover, and income and sales of various goods in state trade. We discovered that R² of these regressions has been declining over time (see Table 1 and Diagrams 1-7). In other words, cross-sectional regressions for later years generally had significantly lower R² than the corresponding regressions for earlier years. We will argue that this decline reflects the growth of the second economy in the USSR.

¹²We can assume, however, that most Soviet households had a preference for keeping their liquid funds in savings banks rather than in the form of cash; only household with large illegal incomes derived from second economy or criminal activities would be afraid of possible exposure through savings accounts. In the 1947 monetary reform in which currency of a new design replaced existing ruble bills cash was exchanged at the rate of one new ruble for 10 old rubles while savings were exchanged at a much more favorable rate. During the 1961 monetary reform all funds were exchanged at a 1:1 rate but people were clearly afraid to exchange what they considered to be excessive amounts of cash.

Table 1. R² for Selected Dependent Variables Regressed on Income

RUSSIA	1965	1970	1980	1985	1989	1990	1991
Savings (rubles)	.8210	.6788	.3044	.1652	.2281	.1575	.1125
Alcohol (rubles)	.8841	.8570	.6705	.4392	.1000	.0578	.0752
Alcohol (liters pure)		.8878	.6209	.2032	.0543	.0659	.0198
Vodka (liters)		.6687	.3817	.3005	.1017	.0002	.0020
Wine (liters)		.4405	.4854	.1237	.0211	.0617	.0435
Beer (liters)		.2506	.0796	.0774	.0158	.0208	.0015
Retail trade (rub.)	.9430	.9212	.8720	.8245	.7845		
Food (rubles)	.8276	.9063	.8229	.7374	.5811		
Nonfoods (rubles)	.7855	.8177	.7998	.6958	.7400		
Public dining (rub.)	.8661	.8279	.6224	.5589	.5553		
Services (rubles)	.6245	.6639	.6092	.5197	.4872		
Bread (kg)	.2890	.2082	.2315	.2005	.0922		
Fish (kg)	.3152	.1135	.2279	.1253	.2582		
Eggs (units)	.5497	.5015	.5154	.3531	.2456		
Sugar (kg)	.2691	.1729	.0235	.0491	.1086		
Meat (kg)	.8760	.8124	.6578	.5755	.5614		
Milk (kg)	.7148	.7264	.5786	.4340	.5465		

Table 1 continued. R² for Selected Dependent Variables Regressed on Income

UKRAINE	1970	1975	1980	1985	1989	1990
Savings (rubles)					.1982	
Alcoholic Bev. (rubles)	.7820			.1753		
100% Alcohol (liters)			.4001	.1282		.1784
Retail Trade (rubles)	.9116	.9031	.8523	.7070	.6394	
Food (rubles)	.9179			.6421	.5926	
Nonfoods (rubles)	.8579			.6111	.6702	
Public Dining (rubles)	.5932	.4878		.1390	.0439	
Services (rubles)	.6954	.7487		.4530	.6510	
Meat (rubles)	.9192				.7681	
Sausages (rubles)	.8333				.7336	
Butter (rubles)	.8912				.8464	
Milk (rubles)	.8927				.7699	
Sugar (rubles)	.1956				<u>.0037</u>	
Fish (rubles)	.7123				.6799	
Eggs (rubles)	.8466				.4823	

Notes

- a. R² values which are not statistically significant at 0.05 probability level are underlined.
- b. Per capita statistical data used as the basis for these regression tests are reproduced in Appendix A. Particulars of regression tests are summarized in Appendix B.

Diagram 1: Saving over Income (Russia)

Diagram 2: Trade and Services over Income (Russia)

Diagram 3: Alcohol over Income (Russia)

Diagram 4: Food Products over Income (Russia)

Diagram 5: Trade and Services over Income (Ukraine)

Diagram 6: Alcohol and Sugar over Income (Ukraine)

Diagram 7: Selected Food Products over Income (Ukraine)

The idea of our approach can be illustrated by the following stylized example. Suppose that the true savings function of the consumers in a given region in year 0 is represented by 13

$$s_i = a + by_i \tag{1}$$

where s_i is the amount saved by region i consumers out of their current income y_i , and a and b are fixed coefficients. If both income and savings are measured correctly, a linear cross-sectional regression of savings on income would produce R^2 equal to 1. Suppose now that in year 1 each region experienced an officially unrecorded increase in income due to second economy activities, $\Delta y_i \ge 0$. If savings function (1) does not depend on the source of income, the increase in savings is $\Delta s_i = b\Delta y_i$ and the new savings are equal to

$$s_i + \Delta s_i = a + b(y_i + \Delta y_i) \tag{2}$$

Assuming that the resulting change in savings has been officially recorded, the regression of recorded savings on recorded income would produce different regression coefficients a' and b', and, in general, would have $R^2 < 1$. It is possible to show that the decline of R^2 in this situation would measure the growth of the second economy only to the extent to which Δs_i cannot be expressed as a linear function of y_i . Similarly, if we use more than one independent variable then the changes in R^2 would reflect the dynamics of the second economy only as long as the observed changes in the dependent variable are not described by a linear function of both independent variables. Clearly, introduction of an additional independent variable would reduce the informational content of R^2 for our purposes. In this case the researcher has to be willing to interpret the estimates of the regression coefficients —a highly unreliable technique with these particular data.

¹³ Note that, due to limited data availability, we used the stock of savings, not their annual flows in our regressions. Nonetheless, in the following illustration we use incremental savings. This is done because in almost all other regressions we used flow variables.

Similar arguments can be used for the case when the initial R² is not unity.¹⁴ The reasoning would be essentially the same if both income and savings changes were only partly reflected in the official statistics.

On a more substantive level, suppose that consumer incomes are growing but the state supply system constrained by the rigidity of official prices is not able to satisfy growing aggregate demand for consumer goods. Without the second economy, growing incomes accompanied by only slowly growing supplies of consumer goods would disturb the original relationship between income and savings, as well as between income and consumption. This would happen mainly due to the accumulation of excessive savings by the population.

These "forced savings," however, would not represent a long term equilibrium mainly because they provide strong incentives for second economy activities.¹⁵ The emergence and growth of the second economy would redistribute incomes among groups of population and among regions, most likely exacerbating the observed imbalance in the official markets. In addition to the aggregate shortage, the insufficient responsiveness of the state supply system to the changing structure of consumer demand would produce further incentives for the development of the second economy.

With respect to the state-run retail trade network we can expect the following:

- Households which experience relatively large income growth from whatever source
 will increase their demand for (normal) goods and services offered in state retail trade.
- The increased demand generated by growing private incomes will be satisfied by additional deliveries to the trade network if the state supply system is flexible and responsive to demand pressures. If the supply system is not sufficiently flexible, and since state fixed prices do not respond to demand changes, shortages will result. The unsatisfied households would have to seek the goods in question in the black market or "from the back door" of state stores.

¹⁴ Strictly speaking, in this case additional assumptions would be necessary about sufficiently small correlation between errors in the initial regression, initial incomes, and increments to savings.

¹⁵ For a discussion of the applicability of the concept of "forced savings" to the USSR see Alexeev (1988).

- Shares of certain goods produced by the state and distributed through the state retail trade network are in reality not consumer goods (i.e., goods the demand for which is determined by consumers' income) but intermediate producers' goods. For example, large quantities of bread and bakery products are used illegally as livestock feed, sugar is used in illegal home production of alcohol, building materials are used by semilegal *shabashniki*, i.e., informal construction teams. Demand for these goods which are classified as consumer goods by planners is in fact determined not by purchasers' income but by the demand for goods produced (illegally most of the time) by purchasers.
- In addition to goods and services originating from the state system, the growing second economy will be offering illegal or unavailable goods and services (appliance repair, spare parts, smuggled foreign goods, services of prostitutes, drugs, prohibited books and records, etc) affecting the expenditure pattern of some households and thus changing their purchases in state stores.
- In many instances, the turnover and the mix of goods in state stores will be also affected by surreptitious injection of goods undistinguishable from state-produced goods but in fact manufactured in clandestine second economy enterprises.
- The appearance and growth of the second economy would have varying effects in different localities, towns, and regions. Some may enjoy substantial increases in total (state and private) incomes while income growth in others may be modest.

 Accordingly, the imbalances in the regional state-run markets would differ in degree.

 In each region, however, the orderly relationships between average money income of the population (i.e., legitimate state income) and purchases of many goods and services in state retail trade extant in a period marked by the absence or minimal presence of

The quantities involved are quite large. For example, bread used as livestock feed in subsidiary private agriculture was estimated at between 10 and 13 percent of total bread sold in retail trade (SOTSIAL'NOYE RAZVITIYE... 1989, p. 99); approximately 18 percent of sugar sold in retail trade in 1990 was diverted from human consumption for the estimated production of 1.5 billion liters of 40 percent moonshine (Goskomstat USSR, Pressvypusk..., 1991, p. 2). The data on retail trade sales are from Goskomstat SSSR, NARODNOYE..., 1991, p. 131.

the second economy, should be significantly disturbed by the growth of the second economy.

At this point we would like to make several additional remarks about the effects of the second economy on consumption and savings patterns of the population. First, with a few exceptions, money prices in the second economy were significantly higher than in the first economy. The large differences in the posted money prices, however, masked the fact that the effective prices of goods and services in the two economies were in fact quite close.¹⁷ Consumers had to queue up or search for goods in the first economy adding time costs to their effective prices. Also, the first and second economy goods were often difficult to compare in terms of quality¹⁸ and with respect to the amount of customer fraud that took place during sales. For these reasons, it is difficult to ascertain the precise income and substitution effects on overall consumption pattern produced by purchases in the second economy.

Second, the effect of black markets on consumer behavior may, in general, depend on the society's perception of the morality of black market activities. Berkeley-Duke emigre interviews and evidence in the Soviet media suggest that Soviet people are not much different from people in other societies and cultures. Thus, a certain share of the population considers active participation in some "strongly" unlawful second economy activities such as production of illegal goods and services, theft from places of employment, shortchanging or cheating customers or clients, exacting bribes or favors, and large scale "speculation" to be "immoral and reprehensible." A much larger share of the people, however, accepts without misgivings other types of participation in the second economy, such as moonlighting, purchasing black market goods, paying bribes and extending favors to officials, offering premiums and illegal gratuities to service personnel above state-fixed rates, and the like. It would thus be reasonable to expect that the impact of the growth of the second economy would be based

¹⁷If it is possible to resell goods purchased in the first economy in the black market at zero transaction costs, the effective prices in the two economies would be the same. See Stahl and Alexeev (1985).

¹⁸Sometimes the second economy goods were generally considered to be superior on average, e.g. meat at kolkhoz markets. In other cases, such as with some types of hard liquor, the first economy products were had higher quality.

mostly on purely economic factors, and would not be confined to any particular group of the population.

Third, the Soviet second economy often has been described as mostly a redistributive activity. From this point of view, the second economy should not affect the aggregate values of savings and consumption in the system, except to the extent that preferences of the second economy operators are different from preferences of their customers. Even purely trading activities, however, may have very significant effects on aggregate savings and consumption. Consider, for example, a household which receives an extra 100 rubles of official income and has a marginal propensity to save of 0.2. If this household does all its shopping in the first economy, this income would add 20 rubles to aggregate savings and 80 rubles to aggregate consumption. But what if the household divides its consumption between the first and the second economy equally? Then it would save 20 rubles and provide an extra 40 rubles of income to the second economy operator, who will in turn save 8 rubles (if her saving propensity is also 0.2 and her cost of goods sold is zero). The total amount of savings is now 28 rubles. Moreover, the presence of the second economy changes both the transaction and the precautionary demand for money by altering the consumers' opportunities to earn and spend money.

Finally, there is one important difference between the savings-income and retail trade-income relationships. Notice that we used regional data in our analysis. It is possible, even likely, that centrally planned allocations of consumer goods to regions depended to some extent on official consumer income in these regions. For this reason, we expect the relationship between official savings and official income to be more affected by the second economy than the retail trade-income relationship.

¹⁹Of course, if the second economy operator worked for the state instead, she would have earned some money also and saved 20% of that. To argue this case, however, we would have to make some rather restrictive assumptions, for example, about availability of employment opportunities in the absence of the second economy.

Let us now return to the data presented in Table 1. Are there other explanations for the decline of R^2 in our regressions besides the growth of the second economy?²⁰ We consider one such possibility below. While it is not likely to account for such a strong trend in R^2 , we note that it and our previous explanation are not mutually exclusive.

The relationships estimated in our regressions may become less linear at different real income levels. In this case, the strength of the linear relationships might have declined due to either growing or decreasing real incomes of the regions. In other words, if real income in 1965 was very low, and if the strength of (per capita) savings-income relationship is inversely related to real income, then growth of real income between 1965 and 1970 would result in lower R² in 1970 regressions. A related reason is that income distribution among the regions might have changed over time altering the relationships among the official data. For example, if regional income inequality had been decreasing then it might be more appropriate to compare the full sample regressions for the later years with the earlier year regressions for the middle range of the sample (i.e., without the outliers).

Consider first the influence of changes in interregional income distribution. The distribution of income and of other official characteristics among Soviet regions did change significantly between 1965 and 1989. The Gini coefficients for distribution of average income of regions declined from 0.157 in 1965 to 0.099 in 1985 and to 0.098 in 1989. The coefficients of variation of average regional income decreased from 0.397 to 0.259 and 0.254, respectively. The lower regional dispersion of income, other things being equal, could have caused weakening in the relationship between income and various characteristics of consumer behavior.²¹ Changes in income distribution, however, do not appear to explain everything.

²⁰Strictly speaking, the relationships among official data could be affected by shifts in the geographical distribution of the second economy, instead of its overall growth. For example, if the second economy activities used to be uniformly distributed among the regions but later somehow became unevenly distributed, we would also observe declines in official data correlations. Such a situation appears to be very unlikely, however, as it is difficult to think of the reasons for significant locational shifts in the second economy.

²¹ In the limit, if all regions had the same average per capita official income then R² would have been zero for any non-constant dependent variable. More importantly, imagine that for one sample the data on income and savings form an almost perfect circle so that the slope coefficient is arbitrary and R² is close to zero. Then an introduction of one outlier would immediately "fix" the slope coefficient and significantly increase R². For this reason, in order to achieve comparability it might be necessary to remove outliers from some of the annual samples.

For example, consider the relationship between income and savings. First of all, notice that the decline in income inequality was accompanied by an even more precipitous decline in inequality of interregional savings distribution. The coefficient of variation of per capita official savings went from 0.496 in 1965 to 0.219 in 1989. Second, if we eliminate income outliers for the earlier years (1965, 1970, 1980) so that the remaining sample has about the same degree of income inequality as the full sample does in 1985 and 1989, the trend toward lower R² remains, albeit in a somewhat weaker form.

The changes in the average level of real income in Russia and Ukraine also do not explain the trends in R² for regressions of either savings or retail trade turnover on income. Presumably, real income of Russians and Ukrainians grew at least between 1965 and 1970 and, possibly, even later. Within moderate income ranges the relationship between savings and income is usually stronger at higher income levels. In fact, in our Russian data, R² for the richest half of the regions in all annual income-savings samples is much higher than R² for the poorest half of the regions. Nonetheless, we observe a precipitous drop in R² between 1965 and 1970, as well as for later years. Similar arguments can be used with respect to the relationship between retail trade turnover and income.

IMPLICATIONS OF SECOND-ECONOMY GROWTH FOR SYSTEM EFFICIENCY

Our analysis suggests that the second economy was growing rapidly between 1965 and 1985. Meanwhile, the performance of the Soviet economy in general seems to have been deteriorating over the same period of time. Did second-economy growth exacerbate or alleviate the economic downturn? The implications of the existence of the second economy for efficiency of the Soviet-type economy have been rather extensively studied in the literature. We will offer a brief non-exhaustive survey and some additional comments.²²

²²In the present paper we have concentrated on the second economy in consumer markets. The so-called shadow economy in the area of intermediate goods production has been large and, presumably, growing as well (see Grossman, 1982). In this section we will comment on the efficiency implications of the second economy in both producer and consumer goods markets.

Clearly, second economy transactions among socialist enterprises, usually being voluntary, benefit the transacting enterprises (managers). The question about whether second economy activities benefit the society at large can be considered in two steps. First, did it facilitate plan fulfillment, and second, did plan fulfillment benefit the society. While the second question might be more important, it was the more specific first question that has attracted a greater amount of attention in the literature. Montias and Rose-Ackerman (1981) have argued that a mutually beneficial transaction between two enterprises may easily be detrimental to the rest of the economy if other enterprises are somehow prevented from bidding. Their argument assumed that the initial planned allocation of inputs was at least close to efficient in a sense of equating marginal rates of technical substitution among user enterprises. They noted that inefficiency of the plan allocations would make second economy transactions potentially more efficient. Of course, if some firms are handicapped in bidding for inputs free market allocations need not be efficient either. The impediments to wide participation in bidding on particular deliveries, however, are presumably much greater in the second economy than in the western-type market economy. By their very nature second economy negotiations are not widely advertised. In fact, difficulties in disseminating information constitute one of the most significant problems for functioning of the second economy.

Ericson (1981, 1983) argued that second economy reallocation of inputs in the absence of informational problems represents Pareto improvement over the planned allocation.²³ Ericson's models, however, assume that managers' utility functions depend only on official output of the enterprise and its final holdings of official funds in the state bank. Of course, the Soviet managers were interested in plan fulfillment but Ericson's assumption disregards any tradeoffs between plan fulfillment and unofficial personal enrichment. Such tradeoffs were surely present given the size of the second economy in consumer markets. Introducing cash holdings (illegally obtained income) into managers' utility functions would destroy the Pareto improving nature of the second economy reallocations of inputs. A manager may sell

²³In Ericson's model second economy transactions are facilitated by cash side-payments. This cash can leak out of the system to induce economic agents to participate in illegal transactions. Because of this leakage the outcome may not be (constrained) Pareto optimal in a sense that some efficient trades may not be performed prior to disappearance of all cash due to this leakage.

part of the enterprise's input allocations or its output in order to obtain extra cash even if this sale hurts the enterprise's plan fulfillment. The more important the weight of cash holdings in the utility function of a manager, the likelier the negative effect of the second economy on official performance. The growth of the second economy in consumer markets probably has been increasing the attractiveness of (unofficially accumulated) cash.

In addition to reallocating inputs, the second economy may attract part of the work force into completely unplanned production of consumer goods. While this in itself may be beneficial to consumers, the planners' actions in response to this redistribution of the economy's resources may reduce the efficiency of the entire economy.²⁴

The issue usually discussed in evaluating the role of the second economy in intermediate inputs market is whether or not it facilitated plan fulfillment. This, however, appears to be a rather unreliable criterion of overall efficiency implications of the second economy. A serious problem with the second economy's role in a Soviet-type system is that it may facilitate achievement of "wrong" goals more or less arbitrarily imposed by the central planners. If the second economy facilitates fulfillment of such a plan it may actually deduct from the efficiency of resource use in the economy. In the Soviet Union in particular the planners usually gave priority to heavy industry at the expense of consumer goods production. Helping fulfill such a plan may not be a good thing. A related problem arises when the second economy weakens or even destroys the feedback to the planners from their actions, covering up the shortcomings of the planned allocations and preventing the planners from realizing their mistakes. For example, suppose that skis were shipped to the southern port of Odessa but there was a shortage of skis in the north of the USSR. The second economy operators would rectify the mistake and deliver the skis to the north (at the cost of additional transportation), but the planners would not learn about the mistake and might keep allocating the skis to Odessa in the following years.

In this respect, the Soviet planners may be likened to a driver of a car who sees a very distorted image of the road. When the road conditions change, the driver tries to adjust. The

²⁴See Wellisz and Findlay (1986). Note, however, that the planners there are rather unsophisticated in that they do not understand the full extent of their actions' consequences which were displayed in Wellisz and Findlay's model.

problem arises when the driver sees a pothole on the right side of the road, while in reality it is on the left side. The driver's maneuver to avoid the false image may lead right into the actual pothole.

Significant inefficiency of second economy operations results from transactions costs being quite high there due to costs of obtaining reliable market information, difficulty of enforcing contracts, and the possibility of punishment.²⁵ Also, second economy production may suffer from its small scale.

Inefficiencies of another sort arise in situations where the second economy is introduced into consumer markets to reallocate the first economy's allocation achieved through queuing (or search). Allocation of goods through queuing alone is obviously inefficient mainly due to the expense of time on waiting. Also, because marginal value of time would not in general be the same among individuals there would be room for improvement by allowing people to trade goods acquired as a result of queuing (or in effect letting some people hire others to queue instead of them). Allowing for resale of goods purchased in the first economy, however, makes queues even longer as the benefits to queuing increase for individuals with relatively low value of time. This effect can result in lower efficiency of the second economy allocation relative to pure rationing by queues.²⁶

The consequences of the second economy growth to the Soviet society were not, of course, limited to the issues of economic efficiency in the narrow sense of the word. The impact was much broader, affecting virtually all aspects of Soviet life. As a frequent Soviet commentator on the second economy, Tatiana Koriagina observed: "The shadow economy

²⁵With the exception of the threat of punishment all other types of transactions costs in the second economy may actually be smaller. For example, in an overregulated economy underground operations may be cheaper as the operators do not have to abide by regulations. Also, contract enforcement based on informal mechanisms (Kronman, 1985) may sometimes be superior to the third party arbitrage (Millar, 1984).

²⁶This phenomenon is analyzed in Stahl and Alexeev (1985). Gang and Tower (1988) provide a simple example. The existence of privileged access to goods in short supply may make matters even worse (Alexeev, 1989). It has to be noted that these models disregard the effect on production of introduction of black markets in exchange. When resale of goods acquired in the first economy is allowed, relatively poor consumers do most of the queuing. If income is correlated with productivity this allows more productive workers to work more in production and spend less time in queues. As a result, total supply of goods goes up and queues become shorter. This is true, of course, only on the assumption that freed up workers produce something useful for consumers, which was not necessarily true in the USSR.

alleviates shortages in consumer markets and, at the same time, provokes their growth. The second emerges as the obverse side of the lack of imbalances in all forms. The presence of shortages produces the growth of organized criminal economic groups and the latter lead to socio-economic and political destabilization of the society."²⁷

As we can see, the implications of the second economy for the efficiency of the entire economy are not unequivocal. The Soviet-type economy probably could not survive for any significant period of time without some second economy activities greasing its wheels. As long as the second economy operates on the margins, its effect seems to be mostly beneficial to the rest of the economy. When the second economy grows too large, however, its role seems to become more and more dysfunctional. Its transactions costs, such as difficulties with contract enforcement and informational problems, grow exponentially with its size, the destruction of feedback to the planners becomes more widespread causing costlier errors, the managerial and workers' incentives unrelated to plan fulfillment become stronger. The growth of the second economy probably was mainly a consequence, not the principal reason for disintegration of the Soviet economic system. Nonetheless, it did apparently contribute to the deterioration of the Soviet economic performance in the 1970s and 1980s.

²⁷Koriagina (1990), p. 113.

²⁸ This effect was probably exacerbated by the reluctance of the Soviet planners to take adequate account of or even to study the second economy and its influence on the first economy as shown in Section 2.

RESEARCH AGENDA

This study is, in some sense, only a pilot attempt to identify factors involved and to quantify the disruptive influence of the second economy on the income-expenditures relations in the household sector in Russia and Ukraine in the last twenty years. Several additional challenging aspects of these relations remain to be explored. At this time we are concentrating on two specific areas.

■ We have established that the degree of correspondence between state income and savings and consumer expenditures in state trade measured by R² got significantly weaker between 1965 and the late 1980s. We attribute this phenomenon to the growth of the second economy and its adverse impact on the recorded behavior of household in the state economy. We did not, however, address the issue of the relative order of magnitude of the second economy. How large must the second economy income be relative to the state income to adversely affect the high degree of correlation between the latter and, say, savings? For example, is the growth of the second economy income from one to five percent of state income in a given time span sufficient to produce a statistically significant drop in R²? Or should the growth of the second economy's share be in the 40 to 60 percent range to have an impact?

Thus we have to develop an instrument for empirically measuring the sensitivity of R²'s with respect to changes in second economy variables such as income. While we do not expect to be able to deduce an accurate measure of the overall size of the second economy so rough measures of sensitivity would be useful to asses independent estimates.

■ The second aspect of the relations between money income of the population and such dependent variables as savings and expenditures we are investigating lies with the direction of the change in R²'s. If a decline of R²'s indicates a disruptive invasion of the second economy it would be reasonable to interpret an increase in values of R²'s as signifying a reduction of unrecorded illegal incomes or transactions.

As the newly independent states are moving from a centrally-planned to a market system a large share of second economy activities should be gradually legalized and the normal high degree of correspondence between incomes and expenditures should be restored. The availability of statistics necessary for such tests is uncertain at this point but reorganized statistical agencies of newly independent states, particularly in the Baltics and in Russia are experimenting with new statistical measures and publishing new income, savings, and expenditures series. By redesigning the definition and the scope of money income and expenditures of the population and running appropriate regressions we could thus conceivably measure the progress of these countries towards markets.²⁹

This should be especially interesting because up to this time scholarly studies of transition processes did not offer any comprehensive quantitative measures of progress towards markets except for presenting collections of simple ratios of private over state employment and production or shares of privatized enterprises.

We cannot expect all second economy activities to disappear even with the establishment of truly free consumer markets. Activities such as illegal home distillation of alcohol, smuggling, prostitution, and production and marketing of narcotics are likely to continue to be present.

APPENDIX A. DESCRIPTION OF THE DATA USED IN THE STUDY

The scope of this study was severely limited by the availability of the required Soviet data. The primary set of variables around which the entire study is built is money income of the population broken down into a number of regions of the former USSR large enough for meaningful regression analyses. These money income data were long considered secret by Soviet statistical agencies and had not been published in the open literature until the late 1980s. In fact, they remain scarce even now. The first set of per capita money income of the population for a series of years for 72 oblasts, krais, and autonomous republics of the RSFSR, and the cities of Moscow and Leningrad was included in a 1990 Goskomstat RSFSR mimeographed statistical handbook of which only 50 copies had been printed (Goskomstat RSFSR, POKAZATELI... 1990, pp. 83-84). It was precisely this set of statistics that provoked our interest and originated this study. Soon thereafter Treml was given an unpublished set of similar data for 26 oblasts and the city of Kiev by Goskomstat of the Ukraine. Despite an extensive search through all old and newly available and declassified statistical sources and direct inquiries with central statistical agencies of newly independent states no additional data on money income of the population have been obtained.³⁰

The study is thus built on data on incomes and expenditures for regions of Russia and Ukraine but for different years and products.

A total of about 220 simple linear regressions (with dependent variables such as per capita bank savings and consumption of goods and services, and money income as an independent variable) were run. It should be added that we tested more variables than described below and summarized in our results. In our choice of individual consumer goods we concentrated on food products because the data were more readily available and because food is more homogenous with smaller qualitative intertemporal changes.³¹ In several instances we ran regression tests on products with low income elasticity of demand, such as salt, matches, vegetable oil, and vegetables. As expected, these tests produced very low or statistically insignificant R²'s for all years and were, therefore, omitted from the study.

Under food products we tested consumption of food both in value terms and in physical units.

³⁰Goskomstat of Belarus has published the data on money income of the population for six oblasts and the city of Minsk for two years only (1985 and 1990), and Goskomstat of Kazakhstan released income statistics for 19 oblasts and the city of Alma Ata for 1990. The absence of data for more years and of other relevant statistics such as savings and sales made these sets unusable.

³¹ For example, a pilot study of sales of television sets over income produced widely fluctuating regression coefficients. The probable reason is that the mix of cheaper black-and-white and much more expensive color sets changed drastically during the period under consideration.

DEFINITIONS AND SOURCES OF DATA

1. Money income of the population covers all legal direct monetary payments, loans and transfers from the state to the population. The state is defined broadly to include cooperatives introduced in 1987. Money income of the population thus includes payments and transfers of funds for some private economic activities, such as sales of produce grown on subsidiary private plots to the state, or payments for collected scrap metal; it also includes payments for used goods sold by private individuals to commissary stores of the retail trade network. It excludes such transactions as sales of produce grown on subsidiary private plots to the public on urban kolkhoz markets and other legal or illegal transactions among private individuals (Gosplan et al., 1982).³² It should be noted that unlike other data sets money income of the population published in 1990 excluded the city of Moscow. Accordingly, we had to omit the city of Moscow from all regressions.

One question which has bothered Western specialists for a long time was whether military pay and money allowances (e.g., uniform allowance, payments for public transportation during home leaves, etc) are included or excluded from various aggregate income measures published in Soviet statistics, such as average or total wages. In July 1991, Mr. Barry L. Kostinsky, Assistant Division Chief, Center of International Research, Bureau of Census and Professor Treml had several interviews with a group of statistical officials at Goskomstat USSR. We were explicitly told that data on money income of the population category were collected from state bank offices and, therefore, included military pay and allowances.

2. The Time Period: The study covers 1965, 1970, 1980, 1985, and 1989 (and in some cases 1990 and 1991) for Russia and 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990 for Ukraine. It should be noted that statistical data for the variables used in the study (income, savings, and purchases of goods and services) are also available for more recent years, e.g., for Russia for 1990 and 1991. The period between 1965 and the mid to late 1980s was marked by relative stability of state consumer prices for goods and services which made it possible for us to run regressions without being concerned whether changes in quantities purchased were caused by prices changes or by other factors. Inflationary pressures which accompanied Gorbachev's perestroika began to be felt in state consumer markets in the late 1980s. Ideally, we should have selected 1987 or 1988 as the last year of relative price stability but data for these years were not available. Accordingly, we had no choice but to select 1989 as the last year for Russia (except for the market in alcoholic beverages the analysis of which is carried through 1991). The analysis of the last year for Ukraine was complicated by the fact that we had the

³² Strictly speaking part of the so-called legal or official money income of the population is not legal. We know of the widespread practice of *pripiski*, i.e., falsified overstatement of output and wage accounts in state enterprises, particularly in construction. In these cases, funds are paid by enterprises to workers from bank disbursements and would, therefore, be counted with the official wage accounts although in fact these funds should be viewed as elements of second economy or illegal private incomes. This issue, however, does not affect the basic data definitions and the findings of this study. Falsified or not the funds paid out become a part of the money income of the population balances used by state bureaucrats in planning of retail trade.

data for the money income of the population for 1990 but the last year for which we had the needed expenditure variables was 1989. As an exception we tested the relationship of all 1989 expenditure variables against 1990 income figures.

- 3. <u>Savings</u>: Per capita savings deposited in savings banks at the end of the year. It should be thus noted that this category does not cover all forms of household savings. Deposits in Gosbank (a minor category), purchases of state bonds and changes in currency holdings are excluded. Since the issue of the relative stability of state consumer prices is not relevant in this case, savings over income regressions were also run for RSFSR for 1990 and 1991. Savings data broken down by regions for Ukraine was found for one year only 1989 and we could not, therefore, study changes in relationships between income and savings over time. As seen from the tabulated data, the low value of R² of 0.198 is similar to R² for savings-income relationships in Russia and is included in the study for illustrative purposes. (Goskomstat RSFSR, POKAZATELI... 1990, pp. 93-94; Goskomstat UkSSR, NARODNOYE..., 1990, p.98).
- 4. <u>Aggregate Retail Trade Values</u>. Both for Russia and Ukraine we ran regression tests on per capita overall sales in state and cooperative retail trade and three components of retail trade, i.e., sales of food products, sales of nonfood products, and public dining. All retail trade data are from Goskomstat RSFSR, POKAZATELI ... 1990; Goskomstat RSFSR, TORGOVLYA... 1991; Goskomstat Rossii, POKAZATELI... 1992; TsSU UkSSR,RADYANSKA... 1971; Goskomstat UkSSR, ROZDRIBNA... 1990, and Goskomstat UkSSR, NARODNOYE..., 1990.
- 5. Meat, milk and dairy products, fish, and eggs. We had a choice of two measures of consumption of these products. Standard Soviet statistical sources have traditionally published data on total meat, milk and dairy products, and sugar consumption, i.e., consumption combining quantities purchased in retail trade stores, with sales on urban kolkhoz markets, intra-village markets, and those produced on private auxiliary agricultural plots and consumed by producing households. Total consumption also included the use of sugar and milk and dairy products in other food processing such as baking, confections etc. The second measure available to us was meat, milk³³ and dairy products, fish and eggs delivered to the retail trade networks (*postavka v torgovlyu po rynochnomu fondu*). The latter excludes industrial uses of these products, kolkhoz market and private plot consumption and is thus more homogeneous. There is one disadvantage, however, in that deliveries are not necessarily equal to sales because of possible changes in stocks and spoilage. Testing suggested that stocks of these products in state consumer trade networks did not vary much over time and thus we accepted the deliveries as the more accurate measure of consumption.

Milk and milk products were reported in Soviet sources converted to standard units with 3.2 percent fat content in the 1965-1980 period. In 1985 the definition was changed to 3.6 percent fat. Maybe this change in the conversion method explains the somewhat erratic behavior of regression coefficients in 1985 and 1989.

- 6. <u>Alcohol.</u> Second economy is particularly widespread in alcoholic beverage markets (see Treml, "Alcohol..." 1985) and therefore as much data as could be found were included in the study. The following consumption statistics were used for Russia: purchases of all types of alcoholic beverages in retail trade in rubles, total consumption of pure alcohol (i.e., consumption of all alcoholic beverages converted to 100% alcohol dependent on the alcohol content of specific beverages), vodka, wine, and beer in liters. The data on sales of alcohol in rubles were obtained from retail trade statistics and shares of alcohol in total. For Ukraine we use sales of all alcoholic beverages in rubles and consumption of pure alcohol.
- 7. Other food products. Other food products consist of two groups. For Russia we used the data on per capita consumption of bread and sugar measured in kg. For Ukraine we found the data (unfortunately for two years only, 1970 and 1989) for state retail sales of meat, sausages, milk, butter, sugar, fish, and eggs measured in rubles.
- 8. <u>Consumer services</u> Soviet statistical sources classify all services paid for by the population into consumer or *bytovye* services such as repairs of soft goods and durables, laundries and dry cleaning establishments, barbershops, public baths, photography etc., and "other services" such as transportation, mail, telephones, housing and utilities, cultural, educational, entertainment, and the like. The data used in this study covers only consumer services. The somewhat erratic behavior of regression coefficients for services in Ukraine could be possibly explained by the fact that services in 1970 an 1975 were measured in constant prices of unknown and different base years. Starting in 1980 the data are in current prices.
- 9. <u>The Data.</u> Statistical data on money income of the population, savings, and expenditures on goods and services are shown in tables below. Tables A1-A18 cover Russia, tables A19-A27 cover Ukraine. All data are given per capita. Values are in current rubles.

Table Al. Russia. Money Income of the Population, Rubles

		1965	1970	1980	1985	1989	1990	1991
1	Archangel	703	1015	1720	1905	2346	2628	5472
	Vologda	544	817	1394	1636	2033	2359	5159
	Murmansk	1285	1598	2343	2570	3130	3583	7487
	Karelian ASSR Komi ASSR	717 909	986 1321	1619 2043	1819 2290	2324 2945	2676 3312	6111 6922
		1039	1285	1718	1881	2498	2974	6382
	Leningrad	562	823	1344		1997	2286	4787
8	Novgorod	557	827	1411	1604	2044	2411	5238
	Pskov	508	788	1373	1641	2030	2416	5200
	Brayansk Vladimir	434 602	680 862	1222 1399	1537 1636	1933 2036	2428 2285	5280 4890
	Ivanov	599	859	1376	1577	1971	2274	5364
	Kalinin	572	839	1383	1616	1990	2300	4897
	Kaluga	539	825	1389	1669	2086	2422	5062
	Kostroma	537 682	796 901	1401 1346	1656 1555	2044 2037	2292 2350	4911 5239
	Moscow Orlov	454	758	1339	1727	2037	2620	5806
	Ryazan	496	767	1336	1652	2040	2355	4934
19	Smolensk	531	792	1350	1625	1977	2293	4786
	Tula	612	880	1419	1700	2077	2390	5304
	Yaroslav Gorkyi	623 566	898 848	1446 1362	1682 1693	2103 2104	2457 2411	5170 5055
	Kirov	506	795	1352	1609	2050	2325	4805
	Maryi ASSR	385	639	1152	1400	1747	2054	4432
	Mordva ASSR	382	612	1214	1501	1895	2228	4478
	Chuvash ASSR	343	565	1058	1331	1707	1982	4499
	Belgorod Voronezh	408 497	649 706	1216 1200	1585 1456	1968 1824	2338 2227	5209 4227
	Kursk	387	623	1179	1572	1897	2247	4772
	Lipetsk	459	720	1255	1572	1996	2316	4970
	Tambov	433	653	1189	1552	1915	2170	4714
	Astrakhan	536	801	1318	1577	1956	2353	4922
	Volgograd Kuybyshev	609 615	820 894	1282 1330	1510 1568	2025 2043	2381 2401	5261 5496
	Penza	472	741	1320	1632	1999	2303	4670
	Saratov	602	840	1414	1653	2072	2368	4964
	Ulyanovsk	472	748	1286	1568	1986	2273	4902
	Kalmyk ASSR Tatar ASSR	568 456	781 696	1309 1242	1620 1515	2226 1925	2883 2242	6917 4734
	Krasnodar Krai	534	757	1231	1402	1868	2242	5314
	Stavropol Krai	521	740	1252	1470	1947	2327	5495
42	Rostov	605	845	1420	1631	2123	2492	5333
	Dagestan ASSR	329	502	818	975	1334	1545	3475
	Kabardino-Balkar ASSR North Ossetin ASSR	463 569	666 726	$\frac{1127}{1272}$	1304 1381	1688 1768	1992 2126	4070 4485
	Checheno-Ingush ASSR	369	533	859	986	1474	2020	4295
47	Kurgansk	490	756	1352	1566	1990	2300	4956
	Orenburg	498	739	1327	1554	1910	2304	4688
	Perm Sverdlovsk	572 680	833 943	1339 1437	1553 1673	1956 2133	2226 2484	4756 5243
	Chelyabinsk	651	905	1381	1646	2106	2479	5550
52	Bashkir ASSR	431	641	1158	1431	1824	2169	4613
	Udmurt ASSR	498	778	1336	1559	1991	2306	5229
	Altai Krai Kemerovo	483	734	1362	1667	2013	2406	5098
	Novosibirsk	622 590	883 855	1491 1467	1758 1676	2238 2202	2702 2590	
	Omsk	564	812	1420	1652	2017	2328	5144
	Tomsk	672	1037	1577	1958	2685	3124	6453
	Tyumen	595	967	2193	2699	3539	4027	9403
	Krasnoyarski Krai Irkutsk	720 678	983	1678 1564	1781	2461 2340	2794 2666	6523 6182
	Chita	556	834	1343	1510	1933	2222	4818
	Buryat ASSR	558	823	1422	1612	2120	2361	4898
	Tuva ASSR	470	709	1112	1348	1647	1945	4224
	Primorski Krai	785 737	1124	1722	1930 2012	2587	2960 2979	6050
	Khabarovs Krai Amur	737 615	1093 959	1765 1627	1800	2571 2418	2880	6657 6134
	Kamchatka	1437	2048	2934	3331	4143	4822	8961
69	Magadan	1790	2538	3465	3711	4691	5470	10737
	Sakhalin	1150	1629	2456	2730	3395	3932	8075
	Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad	1043 724	1577 976	2548 1485	2959 1735	3655 2166	4260 2528	9423 5726
14	na i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	124	210	T-100	1133	2100	4340	2120

Table A2. Russia. Bank Savings Deposits, Rubles, End of the Year

		1965	1970	1980	1985	1989	1990	1991
1	Archangel	81	180	602	803	1165	1234	1620
	Vologda	76	178	563	794	1136	1247	1701
	Murmansk	217	420	935	1118	1592	1711	2214
	Karelian ASSR	60	131	453	599	941	1020	1449
	Komi ASSR	148	320	752	920	1380	1467	1902
	Leningrad - city	182	295	663	911	1340	1429	2019
	Leningrad	67 75	147 185	450 559	629 749	959 1073	1090 1192	1616 1608
	Novgorod Pskov	75	206	645	890	1231	1379	1840
	Brayansk	63	174	637	935	1375	1559	2233
	Vladimir	84	189	589	827	1213	1339	1987
	Ivanov	97	209	633	881	1265	1410	2031
	Kalinin	109	250	715	984	1369	1508	2292
	Kaluga	84	218	693	948	1388	1535	1833
	Kostroma	86	195	644	907	1279	1379	2814
	Moscow	84	181	575	838	1297	1442	2651
	Orlov Ryazan	88 84	258 219	835 748	1217 1133	1743 1594	1976 1760	2301 1881
	Smolensk	85	218	680	921	1281	1418	1959
	Tula	82	213	729	1059	1546	1705	1819
	Yaroslav	107	227	645	889	1248	1357	1819
22	Gorkyi	89	210	692	1001	1426	1551	2070
23	Kirov	84	211	680	918	1325	1459	1905
	Maryi ASSR	57	148	505	697	1045	1189	1541
	Mordva ASSR	73	186	685	1034	1496	1669	2195
	Chuvash ASSR	69	168	545	786	1152	1281	1780
	Belgorod	86	226	770	1097	1618	1887	2618
	Voronezh	113	264	851	1176	1711	1929	2554
	Kursk Lipetsk	71 76	184 212	648 704	977 1033	1410 1528	1603 1716	2294 2257
	Tambov	85	221	781	1157	1657	1856	2471
	Astrakhan	82	174	533	693	1034	1225	1713
	Volgograd	108	236	747	978	1470	1712	2337
	Kuybyshev	102	228	679	912	1360	1508	2123
35	Penza	81	219	764	1106	1574	1776	2337
36	Saratov	119	268	785	1030	1442	1628	2150
	Ulyanovsk	79	202	691	924	1315	1460	2030
	Kalmyk ASSR	67	149	476	591	1020	1403	2213
	Tatar ASSR	61	148	516	728	1161	1321	1821
	Krasnodar Krai	113 107	270 251	826 784	1094 1045	1561 1533	2111 2132	2795 2544
	Stavropol Krai Rostov	107	254	743	973	1421	1692	2460
	Dagestan ASSR	48	133	411	563	862	1050	1517
	Kabardino-Balkar ASSR	66	178	588	777	1156	1402	2096
	North Ossetin ASSR	94	241	752	983	1476	1805	2831
	Checheno-Ingush ASSR	53	134	382	486	713	817	1075
	Kurgansk	68	187	560	716	1057	1159	1585
	Orenburg	80	210	675	896	1299	1474	2041
	Perm	66	153	455	617	949	1023	1363
	Sverdlovsk	76	173	504	667	1036	1131	1594
	Chelyabinsk Bashkir ASSR	73 53	179 136	529 482	697 670	1077 1058	1174 1199	1626 1689
	Udmurt ASSR	63	157	489	643	1000	1113	1430
	Altai Krai	66	166	569	737	1127	1620	1945
	Kemerovo	68	163	508	652	1051	1215	1823
	Novosibirsk	71	167	561	705	1094	1259	1712
57	Omsk	66	161	538	687	995	1113	1535
	Tomsk	86	203	581	730	1169	1332	1746
59	Tyumen	69	180	649	826	1342	1463	2084
		101	232	603	738		1684	2127
	Irkutsk	78	178	495	615	1011	1188	1684
	Chita	52	112	322	426	772	889	1241
	Buryat ASSR Tuva ASSR	46 35	106 86	350 220	446 307	822 493	964 509	1322 795
	Primorsk Krai	111	219	611	764	1237	1409	1871
	Khabarovs Krai	91	187	578	734	1148	1268	1719
	Amur	76	170	522	644	1121	1329	1842
	Kamchatka	253	448	985	1226	1813	1866	2310
	Magadan	317	600	1165	1365	2108	2268	3123
70	Sakhalin	192	359	850	1058	1580	1708	2389
	Yakut ASSR	139	323	761	966	1583	1945	2595
72	Kaliningrad	84	188	556	802	1227	1394	1974

Table A3. Russia. Sales of Alcoholic Beverages in Retail Trade, Rubles

		1965	1970	1980	1985	1989
2	Archangel Vologda	95.7 138.4	142.1 166.3	243.3 242.9	249.0 240.8	239.4 279.7
	Murmansk Karelian ASSR	77.8 94.4	118.8 140.5	222.7 254.6	247.4 270.6	240.1 253.1
5	Komi ASSR	116.3	177.3	278.6	263.4	294.9
	Leningrad - city Leningrad	101.2 93.1	149.0 138.6	227.7 238.4	227.6 248.2	304.7 269.1
	Novgorod	82.3	127.2	252.8	268.2	271.2
9	Pskov	75.0	128.5	249.5	264.9	208.0
	Brayansk Vladimir	54.9 83.0	88.9 113.1	167.0 197.0	187.1 222.9	159.5 249.4
	Ivanov	78.6	109.7	192.0	220.0	225.8
	Kalinin	78.3	124.0	230.7	252.9	250.6
	Kaluga Kostroma	80.6 75.6	120.5 116.9	224.4 227.9	245.7 256.3	226.0 263.7
	Moscow	88.5	116.9 119.8 102.6 112.7 133.7	195.2	204.4	216.0
	Orlov	67.0	102.6	194.0	211.2	228.9
	Ryazan Smolensk	73.3 80.3	133.7	198.7 232.3	220.7 265.2	215.4 234.9
20	Tula	76.2	108.1	180.8	206.4	186.5
	Yaroslav	78.4	119.9	214.6	240.2	264.0
	Gorkyi Kirov	76.4 76.3	115.9 125.2	193.7 217.7	222.9 200.7	245.0 238.8
24	Maryi ASSR	52.3	91.0	165.4	193.8	212.8
	Mordva ASSR Chuvash ASSR	51.0 44.8	85.1 74.1	174.5 158.3	194.4	225.5 187.4
	Belgorod	51.3	83.9	148.5	178.8 151.5	152.1
28	Voronezh	65.7	97.4	157.1	177.8	147.1
	Kursk Lipetsk	45.0 61.8	73.2 99.3	150.0 177.7	176.9 204.1	179.9 221.7
	Tambov	63.7	101.1	190.8	216.9	215.3
	Astrakhan	73.3	111.6	205.5	172.9	162.8
	Volgograd Kuybyshev	79.7 81.7	113.1 116.2	184.6 181.8	194.9 177.4	192.9 181.2
	Penza	63.4	97.5	175.7	196.2	211.5
	Saratov	76.9	109.6	191.9	201.8	174.4
	Ulyanovsk Kalmyk ASSR	71.1 78.8	113.3 126.2	188.2 199.6	192.1 182.8	228.1 178.8
	Tatar ASSR	63.2	101.0	173.9	182.2	193.6
	Krasnodar Krai	62.7	92.5	155.2	149.0	126.7
	Stavropol Krai Rostov	67.6 73.4	96.6 105.8	165.5 171.7	160.7 181.7	135.3 166.0
43	Dagestan ASSR	33.3	48.4	74.3	83.9	102.1
	Kabardino-Balkar ASSR North Ossetin ASSR	53.6 42.5	85.4 63.7	144.6 104.1	154.0 110.3	154.8 106.0
	Checheno-Ingush ASSR	37.6	47.7	69.9	63.1	70.3
	Kurgansk	71.9	116.8	201.1	205.3	184.0
	Orenburg Perm	68.7 79.6	104.3 118.1	193.1 217.8	144.1 224.8	161.3 222.1
50	Sverdlovsk	82.6	116.4	204.0	206.9	209.9
	Chelyabinsk	78.2	115.5	194.7	199.4	222.8
	Bashkir ASSR Udmurt ASSR	58.4 60.3	91.6 101.3	154.9 182.0	187.4 202.2	166.8 212.5
54	Altai Krai	68.9	106.2	193.7	217.8	207.0
	Kemerovo Novosibirsk	83.2 71.7	119.2 123.2	218.8 193.2	241.2 205.3	235.3 220.3
	Omsk	74.6	106.7	189.2	201.1	221.2
	Tomsk	83.5	106.7	222.9	159.8	80.8
59 60	Tyumen Krasnoyarski Krai	96.0 94.4	150.3 146.9	275.8 250.1	272.3 255.7	216.5 246.5
	Irkutsk	90.0	145.3	251.6	244.1	224.0
	Chita	76.7	123.1	209.2	215.8	138.7
	Buryat ASSR Tuva ASSR	87.5 85.6	135.7 132.6	258.4 223.9	250.3 140.6	237.7 99.0
65	Primorski Krai	111.6	154.7	252.6	246.5	248.2
	Khabarovs Krai	107.8	156.0	231.1	261.1	224.9
	Amur Kamchatka	95.6 190.3	148.3 267.8	262.9 347.3	236.4 281.7	168.5 169.8
69	Magadan	201.7	267.6	344.5	285.5	231.6
	Sakhalin Yakut ASSR	176.0 134.8	237.4 198.9	367.3 277.9	328.9 264.4	307.5 188.8
	Kaliningrad	92.9	145.3	234.4	237.2	259.1

Table A4. Russia. Consumption of Pure Alcohol, Liters

		1970	1980	1985	1989
2 3 4	Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR Komi ASSR	9.5 11.8 8.0 9.3 12.0	12.1 12.2 11.4 12.1	9.23 10.06	6.56 5.41 5.87
6 7	Leningrad - city Leningrad	10.4 8.9 8.5	13.2 12.1 11.9	10.97 10.14 9.58 10.10 11.36	6.47 7.86 6.65
9 10	Novgorod Pskov Brayansk	8.6 6.0	8.4	10.56	6.29 4.87 3.81 5.80
12	Vladimir Ivanov Kalinin	8.2 7.5 8.5	10.9 10.0 12.2	9.92 8.66 10.86 8.97	5.59
15	Kaluga Kostroma Moscow	7.7 7.9 8.0	10.5 11.6 9.8	10.63	5.93
17 18	Orlov Ryazan Smolensk	7.0 7.3 8.7	10.5 10.1 11.3	9.26 8.91	5.53 5.33 5.24
20 21	Tula Yaroslav Gorkyi	7.1 8.0 8.3	9.4	9.26 9.77 9.20	4.88 6.46 5.94
23 24	Kirov Maryi ASSR Mordva ASSR	8.9 6.2 5.7	11.0 8.0 9.0	8.38 8.95	5.47 4.93 5.59
26 27	Chuvash ASSR Belgorod Voronezh	5.1 6.4 6.8	7.6 8.8 8.7	8.08 7.35 6.90	4.51 3.75
29 30	Kursk Lipetsk Tambov	5.0 7.1 7.4	8.2 9.9	7.52 7.76 9.05 9.21	4.66 5.34 5.13
32 33	Astrakhan Volgograd	7.4 7.3 7.7 7.8	9.9 9.6	6.96 8.21 7.39	3.49 5.10
35 36	Kuybyshev Penza Saratov	6.9 7.6	9.2 9.1 9.6	8.24 8.08	4.91 4.22
38 39	Ulyanovsk Kalmyk ASSR Tatar ASSR	8.4 8.8 7.0	8.7	8.21 7.29 7.70	5.08 4.05 4.68
41 42	Krasnodar Krai Stavropol Krai Rostov	7.0 8.1 7.8	9.3 9.5 9.0	6.95 7.09 7.90	3.42 3.43 4.22
44 45	Dagestan ASSR Kabardino-Balkar ASSR North Ossetin ASSR	4.1 6.2 4.4	5.2 9.3 8.6 5.0	3.93 6.36 5.52 3.27	2.67 3.81 2.83
47 48	Checheno-Ingush ASSR Kurgansk Orenburg	3.4 8.3 7.1 8.5	10.2 9.1	8.21 5.80	4.04 3.59
50 51	Perm Sverdlovsk Chelyabinsk	8.3 8.0	10.6 10.2 9.9	9.04 8.60 8.22	5.22 5.71 5.40
53 54	Bashkir ASSR Udmurt ASSR Altai Krai Kemerovo	6.4 7.1 7.4 8.0	8.0 9.1 9.6 10.6	8.03 8.28 9.09 9.49	5.30
56 57	Novosibirsk Omsk	8.0 7.3	10.6 10.5 9.3 9.9	8.47 8.50	5.54 5.25 5.39
59 60	Tomsk Tyumen Krasnoyarski Krai	9.5 9.7 10.0	11.4 11.6	6.23 8.05 9.83 8.27	1.82 4.68 5.64 4.57
61 62 63 64	Irkutsk Chita Buryat ASSR Tuva ASSR	8.6 8.3 8.8 8.8	10.0 9.9 12.3 10.8	8.27 9.99 6.01	3.04 5.16 2.09
65 66		10.2 10.8 10.0	12.0 12.1 12.7	9.75 9.76 9.61	5.92 5.08 4.01
	Kamchatka Magadan	18.3 20.3 17.1	15.4 15.6 17.4	10.42 9.61 11.94	3.77 5.38 6.90
71	Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad	16.9 10.1	13.4	8.88	3.95 6.55

Table A5. Russia. Consumption of Vodka, Liters

		1970	1980	1985	1989
$\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2$	Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR Komi ASSR Leningrad - city Leningrad Novgorod Pskov Brayansk Vladimir Ivanov Kalinin Kaluga Kostroma Moscow Orlov Ryazan Smolensk Tula Yaroslav Gorkyi Kirov Maryi ASSR Mordva ASSR Chuvash ASSR Belgorod Voronezh Kursk Lipetsk Tambov Astrakhan Volgograd Kuybyshev	15.2 16.4 13.8 14.9 16.4 15.1 13.5 13.6 11.6 8.2 12.5 11.9 13.0 13.3 12.7 13.2 14.1 12.7 11.5 11.8 11.3 11.3 17.5 9.2 6.4 7.3 10.1 11.1 10.0 11.1	19.7 17.9 19.2 20.7 18.6 11.4 17.2 18.0 16.9 11.4 15.3 15.8 16.0 18.9 13.7 14.8 17.1 16.5 13.3 14.6 14.1 18.3 12.5 13.5 10.4 9.3 11.1 9.3 11.1 11.4 11.4 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5	19.15 17.90 19.33 22.24 16.45 10.62 16.85 19.02 18.83 9.65 15.17 15.55 15.72 14.51 18.69 13.10 12.10 15.77 13.92 13.87 11.79 13.94 14.94 14.94 15.94 15.94 16.94 16.94 1	15.58 16.05 18.92 13.53 14.01 15.63 14.88 8.42 13.20 12.93 14.18 13.36 16.74 11.11 10.07 14.16 13.95 10.26 13.02 12.96 11.23 11.09 9.84 7.50 10.80 7.99 9.93 12.44 9.92 11.02 9.75
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Penza Saratov Ulyanovsk Kalmyk ASSR Tatar ASSR Krasnodar Krai Stavropol Krai Rostov Dagestan ASSR Kabardino-Balkar ASSR North Ossetin ASSR Checheno-Ingush ASSR Kurgansk Orenburg	10.3 11.2 11.8 11.0 11.3 6.6 8.0 8.2 4.1 6.1 4.1 3.5 10.2	14.3 14.3 13.3 15.1 13.7 8.6 9.3 10.6 6.3 9.3 6.4 5.2	13.38 13.11 12.37 13.83 13.48 8.32 9.34 9.47 5.97 9.68 6.46 4.20 12.91 13.61	11.55 11.07 10.87 10.98 10.59 7.21 8.01 8.47 5.41 9.34 6.19 3.56 11.14 8.05
49 50 51 52 53 54 55 55 56 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66	Perm Sverdlovsk Chelyabinsk Bashkir ASSR Udmurt ASSR Udmurt ASSR Altai Krai Kemerovo Novosibirsk Omsk Tomsk Tyumen Krasnoyarski Krai Irkutsk Chita Buryat ASSR Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai	12.0 11.1 10.4 9.8 10.0 11.1 12.7 11.5 11.7 15.4 14.5 16.2 13.6 17.3 16.3	17.6 15.6 15.3 12.6 13.8 15.3 19.3 15.4 16.0 13.6 18.8 20.4 17.1 18.1 21.6 16.8	15.91 13.63 13.61 13.45 12.76 15.82 16.86 13.64 14.16 10.68 17.19 18.80 14.74 16.49 18.80 13.41 17.77	13.48 11.04 11.14 11.87 10.63 12.90 13.95 11.17 11.85 7.26 13.48 15.68 12.27 13.15 14.88 7.54
67 68 69 70 71	Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad	14.7 15.6 26.4 22.7 26.3 26.8 17.1	15.9 20.0 22.5 19.9 24.9 18.9 15.9	14.23 19.36 21.02 16.44 24.14 18.16 13.27	11.23 14.50 14.05 9.93 16.16 12.46 11.40

Table A6. Russia. Consumption of Wine, Liters

Table III. Nabbia. Comban	1970	1980	1984	1985	1989	1990	1991
1 Archangel	12.4	15.1	12.69	12.32	5.68	3.31	3.12
2 Vologda	7.6	11.5	15.39	15.88	4.33	2.91	3.23
3 Murmansk 4 Karelian ASSR	18.5 10.4	17.0 13.9	10.60 15.60	8.50 14.71	7.76 7.25	4.60 2.23	3.12 1.21
5 Komi ASSR	22.1	25.0	23.64	18.56	10.89	7.65	6.47
6 Leningrad - city 7 Leningrad	12.4 10.3	31.4 9.8	28.88 12.60	24.07 14.48	21.57 12.57	14.47 8.70	11.34 5.88
8 Novgorod	8.9	12.4	15.87	19.16	3.70	1.83	2.39
9 Pskov	10.5	12.1	19.35	20.40	5.10	2.07	3.46
10 Brayansk 11 Vladimir	10.8 14.0	9.8 14.6	12.15 17.18	13.48 17.08	6.47 5.07	3.74 3.24	2.10 1.49
12 Ivanov	11.0	11.6	12.39	11.82	5.89	3.63	2.09
13 Kalinin 14 Kaluga	10.3 9.9	14.8 9.8	14.42 9.04	15.01 7.61	9.05 6.09	6.50 2.85	4.58 2.52
15 Kostroma	10.0	9.8	11.15	11.25	3.42	1.11	1.30
16 Moscow 17 Orlov	10.7 7.9	14.1 8.9	15.19 15.42	13.34 15.72	8.46 10.04	8.05 8.70	5.65 5.59
18 Ryazan	5.9	8.3	9.60	8.78	6.03	4.41	0.74
19 Smolensk 20 Tula	14.9 9.9	13.3 11.6	20.59 16.53	20.67 16.93	6.66 9.21	3.30 6.25	3.37 3.85
21 Yaroslav	13.5	11.6	15.34	14.78	8.41	4.56	2.80
22 Gorkyi	11.3	13.4	16.57	12.80	7.59	5.93	3.94
23 Kirov 24 Maryi ASSR	$\frac{11.1}{12.7}$	8.3 8.3	14.71 12.80	10.94 10.09	4.80 5.30	4.09 2.99	2.05 2.60
25 Mordva ASSR	7.8	9.6	13.91	13.33	5.00	3.46	1.90
26 Chuvash ASSR 27 Belgorod	7.7 11.5	7.8 10.4	10.38 7.41	8.92 8.83	3.46 5.85	1.33 7.80	2.67 4.55
28 Voronezh	7.3	7.3	9.61	8.47	4.48	2.36	2.89
29 Kursk 30 Lipetsk	7.0 10.4	7.6 8.8	10.19 12.56	10.56 11.82	5.36 6.53	2.40 5.09	1.20 5.08
31 Tambov	10.4	11.7	12.85	11.82	5.77	4.64	2.05
32 Astrakhan	13.3	15.5	17.35	11.27	2.52	2.92	2.10
33 Volgograd 34 Kuybyshev	11.7 10.5	10.9 7.7	12.99 12.50	10.37 9.98	6.64 5.31	5.56 5.05	4.80 3.26
35 Penza	10.1	7.3	10.37	10.86	6.75	3.86	2.70
36 Saratov 37 Ulyanovsk	11.2 9.1	7.4 10.0	10.99 14.67	10.72 13.12	6.69 4.82	4.87 2.27	3.60 1.08
38 Kalmyk ASSR	17.5	17.1	16.38	12.53	4.52	6.18	2.35
39 Tatar ASSR 40 Krasnodar Krai	9.6 16.1	10.0 16.5	14.94 16.13	11.62 12.30	6.29 6.25	4.14 7.35	3.47 5.64
41 Stavropol Krai	19.8	14.7	15.45	12.08	6.03	6.32	4.11
42 Rostov	19.6	12.7	18.46	17.02	8.08	7.10	6.74
43 Dagestan ASSR 44 Kabardino-Balkar ASSR	10.0 12.3	8.8 9.6	7.55 12.87	5.12 8.91	4.12 6.12	3.80 7.58	2.88 6.33
45 North Ossetin ASSR	9.8	9.4	8.20	4.98	4.36	4.97	5.01
46 Checheno-Ingush ASSR 47 Kurgansk	8.1 10.9	7.5 13.2	8.60 15.47	5.69 16.01	3.10 5.69	3.84 3.28	2.33 3.59
48 Orenburg	9.7	7.1	14.44	8.65	4.44	1.24	1.35
49 Perm 50 Sverdlovsk	12.9 15.1	11.1 12.8	15.88 18.52	13.79 16.88	6.28 9.95	4.65 7.47	4.72 5.14
51 Chelyabinsk	14.5	12.0	15.83	13.41	8.70	7.07	6.97
52 Bashkir ASSR 53 Udmurt ASSR	7.3 11.1	7.0 10.6	12.30 16.10	10.44 16.04	4.69 9.72	3.73 6.56	3.48 4.40
54 Altai Krai	8.0	9.4	11.90	11.78	6.68	4.86	4.46
55 Kemerovo	10.7	10.4 10.2	21.88	17.69	7.84	6.78	7.05
56 Novosibirsk 57 Omsk	11.4 11.3	10.2	15.79 14.22	15.61 11.77	6.57 7.02	4.62 5.43	4.34 5.48
58 Tomsk	14.7	10.5	21.59	13.48	3.77	2.74	1.86
59 Tyumen 60 Krasnoyarski Krai	14.0 14.1	16.6 13.2	15.71 18.00	9.35 12.72	5.08 6.45	2.86 4.89	2.60 4.86
61 Irkutsk	14.1	13.9	19.84	13.48	4.79	3.20	2.39
62 Chita 63 Buryat ASSR	$12.4 \\ 14.2$	12.9 13.2	17.32	13.92 18.81	4.93 5.31	2.97 5.57	1.70 2.88
64 Tuva ASSR	10.3	21.7	20.79 22.04 18.04 21.14 15.91 19.61 22.07 25.25 25.42 25.26	13.41	3.08	2.77	1.26
65 Primorski Krai 66 Khabarovs Krai	13.7 15.1	16.3 19.7	18.04 21 14	12.84 20.88	6.74 8.63	5.66 9.85	6.19 8.28
67 Amur	11.1	14.9	15.91	12.51	5.20	5.55	3.64
68 Kamchatka 69 Magadan	21.8 30.1	23.5	19.61	12.36 19.56	4.04 8.02	4.43 6.97	4.90 3.27
70 Sakhalin	14.3	26.5	25.25	17.07	6 45	8.18	6.52
71 Yakut ASSR	20.1 8.9	24.4	25.42	15.19	7.41	6.47	3.60
72 Kaliningrad Table A7. Russia. Consump	tion of	16.4 Beer, Lite	25.26 ers	25.36	12.30	7.12	4.17
-				1000			
	1970	1980	1985	1989			
1 Archangel	13.3	18.4	16.89	8.42			
2 Vologda 3 Murmansk	15.2 25.2	16.0 27.6	12.98 26.75	10.60 23.89			
4 Karelian ASSR	16.9	13.9	15.74	9.84			
5 Komi ASSR 6 Leningrad - city	21.0 34.6	18.8 39.6	31.44 33.97	25.95 27.20			
7 Leningrad	27.1 18.6	34.0	31.44 33.97 29.24 36.98 16.99 20.71	21.29			
8 Novgorod 9 Pskov	18.6 21.8	41.4 21.2	36.98	30.42 15.13			
10 Brayansk	10.7	16.6	20.71	16.05			
11 Vladimir	9.0	26.6	21.08	23.43			
12 Ivanov 13 Kalinin	8.7 15.6	20.9 28.1	27.01	23.48 27.85			
14 Kaluga	10.2	15.0	15.38	8.17			
15 Kostroma	15.0	22.1	21.08 18.07 27.01 15.38 18.53	13.99			

16	Moscow	11.5	17.8	15.81	11.54
17	Orlov	6.8	16.0	23.06	18.18
18	Ryazan	8.6	28.4	26.19	27.87
	Smolensk	12.9	15.5	17.16	11.35
	Tula	12.2	28.0	34.34	33.46
	Yaroslav	12.2	29.3	26.28	38.00
	Gorkyi	24.0	29.0	28.90	21.67
	Kirov	25.0	21.2	19.24	16.28
	Maryi ASSR	12.3	16.7	18.72	13.02
	Mordva ASSR	8.9	13.1	15.46	42.34
	Chuvash ASSR	10.9	23.9	28.58	31.05
27	Belgorod Voronezh	13.1 20.1	15.0 24.3	23.46 21.91	15.75 15.87
29		9.7	25.2	32.29	37.14
	Lipetsk	10.1	34.7	42.54	34.49
	Tambov	9.8	14.7	18.47	14.61
	Astrakhan	16.6	15.1	13.70	7.57
	Volgograd	21.7	32.5	34.98	37.60
	Kuybyshev	21.3	28.0	31.31	27.86
	Penza	13.3	19.0	21.03	14.69
	Saratov	18.0	21.8	18.97	11.01
	Ulyanovsk	19.3	18.5	21.87	18.12
	Kalmyk ASSR	11.6	9.0	7.68	11.35
39	Tatar ASSR	11.6	17.5	27.85	22.71
40	Krasnodar Krai	23.4	30.5	30.36	21.55
41	Stavropol Krai	18.3	22.5	22.59	15.25
42	Rostov	17.8	25.7	26.16	21.54
	Dagestan ASSR	8.3	14.0	14.76	9.38
	Kabardino-Balkar ASSR	26.1	21.0	17.84	15.89
	North Ossetin ASSR	17.0	33.5	26.77	22.10
	Checheno-Ingush ASSR	10.4	8.8	11.09	7.97
	Kurgansk	16.8	13.3	17.06	7.75
	Orenburg	12.4	13.6	14.73	8.69
	Perm	14.5	21.2	19.38	13.17
	Sverdlovsk	16.7	20.2	21.10	16.48
	Chelyabinsk Bashkir ASSR	16.0 18.6	27.7 22.3	26.96 27.59	19.83 22.23
	Udmurt ASSR	12.6	17.3	17.55	11.72
	Altai Krai	15.6	22.1	32.01	37.38
	Kemerovo	17.7	22.6	18.64	15.83
	Novosibirsk	22.9	25.6	21.69	21.30
57	Omsk	10.3	13.1	25.76	26.69
	Tomsk	14.1	13.1	11.64	5.75
	Tyumen	12.8	10.1	13.57	9.10
	Krasnoyarski Krai	16.0	21.8	25.47	21.15
61	Irkutsk	15.3	11.8	17.76	15.41
62	Chita	7.7	10.4	10.07	5.95
63	Buryat ASSR	13.2	9.9	9.25	6.79
64	Tuva ASSR	0.6	4.8	8.36	0.32
65	Primorski Krai	16.1	16.7	30.27	32.66
	Khabarovs Krai	24.2	25.4	22.90	15.42
67	Amur	19.6	15.9	17.54	14.70
	Kamchatka	19.1	36.3	40.87	28.10
	Magadan	39.0	34.6	36.48	28.93
	Sakhalin	42.9	47.8	38.52	43.89
	Yakut ASSR	10.7	15.8	17.14	8.38
12	Kaliningrad	33.2	31.6	30.18	25.08

Table A8. Russia. Sales in State Retail Trade (All Trade)

		1965	1970	1980	1985	1989
1	Archangel	550	764	1181	1290	1496
	Vologda	455	660	1036	1178	1388
3	Murmansk	721	880	1227	1323	1580
	Karelian ASSR	565	772	1201	1333	1633
	Komi ASSR	657	914	1379	1488	1787
	Leningrad - city	823	1104	1528	1661	2045
	Leningrad	506	700	1069	1149	1424
	Novgorod	457	666	1062	1156	1398
	Pskov Brayansk	401 337	609 502	990 879	1113 1051	1292 1266
	Vladimir	456	639	975	1109	1292
	Ivanov	488	673	1016	1146	1385
	Kalinin	450	649	1012	1119	1326
	Kaluga	397	582	943	1087	1284
15	Kostroma	427	632	1055	1232	1449
16	Moscow	476	627	952	1048	1301
	Orlov	360	546	898	1083	1331
	Ryazan	382	578	933	1087	1245
	Smolensk	425	619	976	1143	1327
	Tula Yaroslav	462 484	625 670	972 1017	1122 1155	1286 1361
	Gorkyi	444	637	1009	1192	1400
	Kirov	406	608	985	1079	1312
	Maryi ASSR	315	497	848	994	1209
	Mordva ASSR	288	450	823	992	1206
26	Chuvash ASSR	287	441	816	1010	1241
27	Belgorod	315	485	839	1052	1247
	Voronezh	391	550	868	1040	1216
	Kursk	310	475	829	1059	1232
	Lipetsk Tambov	351 330	531 491	893 819	1080 1004	1312 1196
	Astrakhan	439	610	965	1101	1302
	Volgograd	477	632	942	1101	1321
	Kuybyshev	472	664	967	1095	1352
35	Penza	356	530	874	1038	1237
	Saratov	455	609	927	1097	1273
	Ulyanovsk	372	572	892	1104	1334
	Kalmyk ASSR Tatar ASSR	396	544	868	999	1233
	Krasnodar Krai	372 448	543 621	925 995	1078 1146	1299 1392
	Stavropol Krai	445	615	997	1140	1353
	Rostov	459	626	970	1108	1287
	Dagestan ASSR	245	348	576	699	851
	Kabardino-Balkar ASSR	367	521	861	1000	1191
	North Ossetin ASSR	401	554	913	994	1204
	Checheno-Ingush ASSR	294 393	401 578	619 967	686 1104	818 1305
	Kurgansk Orenburg	384	549	933	1029	1204
	Perm	460	642	1013	1141	1322
	Sverdlovsk	526	697	1046	1189	1409
	Chelyabinsk	498	656	1009	1146	1367
	Bashkir ASSR	350	495	842	1041	1209
	Udmurt ASSR	389	579	943	1093	1296
	Altai Krai Kemerovo	389 495	556 666	954 1078	1171	1371 1528
	Novosibirsk	440	666 622	991	1297 1134	1412
	Omsk	447	617	996	1176	1400
	Tomsk	480	709	1120	1220	1393
59	Tyumen	485	744	1379	1556	1835
	Krasnoyarski Krai	516	742	1158	1332	1560
	Irkutsk	503	734	1154	1278	1545
	Chita	424	592	898	1018	1217
	Buryat ASSR Tuva ASSR	458 404	640 600	1059 929	1175 1026	1432 1193
	Primorski Krai	597	814	1238	1347	1736
	Khabarovs Krai	609	830	1223	1374	1757
	Amur	493	713	1179	1251	1518
68	Kamchatka	877	1185	1646	1739	1998
	Magadan	1096	1431	1852	1854	2227
	Sakhalin	834	1094	1625	1704	2106
	Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad	753 505	1036 723	1494 1085	1663 1210	1927 1464
14	na i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	505	143	1000	1210	1404

Table A9. Russia. Sales of Food Products in Retail Trade, Rubles

		1965	1970	1980	1985	1989
1	Archangel	370	490	704	755	852
	Vologda	301	425	630	705	790
	Murmansk	472	550	704	742	862
	Karelian ASSR	381	493	723	774	862
	Komi ASSR	427 497	569 608	783 813	813 852	930 1013
7	Leningrad - city Leningrad	340	441	607	627	727
	Novgorod	290	407	614	669	768
9	Pskov	248	372	578	646	695
	Brayansk	204	305	488	580	658
	Vladimir	307	410	565	638	710
12	Ivanov	319	426 404	587	665	746 717
	Kalinin Kaluga	288 254	362	585 542	643 614	675
	Kostroma	276	394	610	711	788
	Moscow	320	397	519	545	613
17	Orlov	205	314	489	568	687
	Ryazan	246	358	524	597	665
19	Smolensk Tula	269 320	383 428	564 599	645 671	721 479
	Yaroslav	285	397	572	669	755
	Gorkyi	285	397	572	669	755
	Kirov	261	381	572	607	713
	Maryi ASSR	194	306	489	572	672
	Mordva ASSR Chuvash ASSR	172 167	270 257	471 460	550 549	657 644
27		165	261	427	503	597
	Voronezh	211	298	435	515	569
	Kursk	165	252	428	527	608
	Lipetsk	210	309	487	568	683
31		197	293	468	556	637
	Astrakhan Volgograd	260 271	357 357	524 497	572 564	648 655
	Kuybyshev	284	385	538	581	669
	Penza	213	308	484	562	664
	Saratov	264	351	508	578	622
37	Ulyanovsk	220	332	492	576	696
38	Kalmyk ASSR Tatar ASSR	215 224	302 323	444 504	476 569	552 662
	Krasnodar Krai	243	337	499	552	636
	Stavropol Krai	232	317	477	513	586
	Rostov	254	342	491	547	597
	Dagestan ASSR	134	190	287	340	399
	Kabardino-Balkar ASSR North Ossetin ASSR	197 211	285 288	415 440	469 469	530 538
	Checheno-Ingush ASSR	162	215	301	325	357
	Kurgansk	224	331	509	561	638
	Orenburg	216	305	486	495	582
	Perm	298	406	604	666	743
	Sverdlovsk Chelyabinsk	337 315	432 407	611 573	671 625	752 729
	Bashkir ASSR	207	289	453	545	599
	Udmurt ASSR	238	350	536	613	703
	Altai Krai	220	312	487	571	663
	Kemerovo	311	408	608	689	766
56 57	Novosibirsk	260	362	523	584	685
58	Omsk Tomsk	265 289	360 416	537 600	607 597	708 627
59	Tyumen	296	436	745	821	889
	Krasnoyarski Krai	320	447	648	715	796
	Irkutsk	314	447	634	682	770
	Chita	256	357	495	546	556
	Buryat ASSR Tuva ASSR	282 234	393 327	600 484	637 470	737 496
	Primorski Krai	381	493	689	733	840
	Khabarovs Krai	382	501	678	752	834
	Amur	301	419	640	643	705
	Kamchatka	363	732	895	912	906
	Magadan Sakhalin	714 546	868 670	1019	1000	1069
	Yakut ASSR	497	670 635	928 819	932 866	1068 888
	Kaliningrad	307	425	577	647	753

Table AlO. Russia. Sales of Nonfood Products in Retail Trade, Rubles

Table Alv. Russia. Sales	OI NOIII	oou ric	Jauces	III KCC	arr irau	c, Rus
	1965	1970	1980	1985	1989	
1 Archangel	180	274	477	535	644	
2 Vologda	154	235	406	473	598	
3 Murmansk	249	330	523	581	718	
4 Karelian ASSR 5 Komi ASSR	184	279	478	559	771	
6 Leningrad - city	230 326	345 496	596 715	675 809	857 1032	
7 Leningrad	166	259	462	522	697	
8 Novgorod	166 167 153	259	448	487	630	
9 Pskov	153	237	412	467		
10 Brayansk 11 Vladimir	133 149 169 162	197 229	391 410	471 471	608 582	
12 Ivanov	169	247	429	481	639	
13 Kalinin	162	245	427	476	609	
14 Kaluga	143	220	401	473	609	
15 Kostroma	151 156	238	445	521	661	
16 Moscow 17 Orlov	156 155	230 232	433 409	503 515	688 644	
18 Ryazan	155 136 156	220	409	490	580	
10 Cmolonals	156	236	412	498	606	
20 Tula	156 142 199 159 145 121 116 120 150 180 145 141	197	373	451	807	
21 Yaroslav	199	273 240	445 437	486 523	606 645	
23 Kirov	145	227	413	472	599	
24 Maryi ASSR	121	191	359	422	537	
25 Mordva ASSR	116	180	352	442	549	
26 Chuvash ASSR	120	184	356	461	597	
28 Voronezh	180	224 252	412 433	549 525	650 647	
29 Kursk	145	223	401	532	624	
20 Tula 21 Yaroslav 22 Gorkyi 23 Kirov 24 Maryi ASSR 25 Mordva ASSR 26 Chuvash ASSR 27 Belgorod 28 Voronezh 29 Kursk 30 Lipetsk 31 Tambov 32 Astrakhan 33 Volgograd 34 Kuybyshev 35 Penza 36 Saratov 37 Ulyanovsk 38 Kalmyk ASSR	141	222	406	512	629	
31 Tambov	133	198	351	448	559	
32 Astrakhan	179	253 275	441 445	529 537	654 666	
34 Kuybyshey	188	279	429	514	683	
35 Penza	143	222	390	476	573	
36 Saratov	191	258	419	519	651	
37 Ulyanovsk	152	240	400	528	638	
38 Kalmyk ASSR	181	242 220	424 421	523 509	681 637	
40 Krasnodar Krai	205	284	496	594	756	
41 Stavropol Krai	213	298	520	627	767	
35 Penza 36 Saratov 37 Ulyanovsk 38 Kalmyk ASSR 39 Tatar ASSR 40 Krasnodar Krai 41 Stavropol Krai 42 Rostov 43 Dagestan ASSR 44 Kabardino-Balkar ASSR 45 North Ossetin ASSR 46 Checheno-Ingush ASSR 47 Kurgansk 48 Orenburg 49 Perm 50 Sverdlovsk	205	284	479	561	690	
43 Dagestan ASSR	111	158	289	359	452	
44 Kabardino-Balkar ASSR 45 North Ossetin ASSR	190	236 266	446 473	531 525	661 666	
46 Checheno-Inqush ASSR	132	186	318	361	461	
47 Kurgansk	169	247	458	543	667	
48 Orenburg	168	244	447	534	622	
49 Perm 50 Sverdlovsk	162	236 265	409 435	475 518	579 657	
50 Sverdiovsk 51 Chelvahinsk	183	249	436	521	638	
52 Bashkir ASSR	143	206	389	496	610	
53 Udmurt ASSR	151	229	407	480	593	
51 Chelyabinsk 52 Bashkir ASSR 53 Udmurt ASSR 54 Altai Krai 55 Kemerovo	162 189 183 143 151 169	244	467	600	708	
55 Kemerovo 56 Novosibirsk	184 180	258 260	470 468	608 550	762 727	
57 Omsk	182	257	459	569	692	
58 Tomsk	191	293	520	623	766	
59 Tyumen	189	308	634	735	946	
60 Krasnoyarski Krai	196	295	510	617	764	
61 Irkutsk 62 Chita	189 168	287 235	520 403	596 472	775 661	
63 Buryat ASSR	176	247	459	538	695	
64 Tuva ASSR	170	273	445	556	697	
65 Primorski Krai	216	321	549	614	896	
66 Khabarovs Krai 67 Amur	227 192	329 294	545 539	622 608	923 813	
68 Kamchatka	514	453	751	827	1092	
69 Magadan	382	563	833	854	1158	
70 Sakhalin	288	424	697	772	1038	
71 Yakut ASSR	256	401	675	797	1039	
72 Kaliningrad Table All. Russia. Public	198	298	508	563	711	100
Table All. Russia. Fubili	, Dining	III SCC	ice Ket	all II	aue. Rub	ıcs
	1965	1970) 19	80	L985	1989
1 Archangol	F 0		1 1	0.0	112	1 2 7
1 Archangel 2 Vologda	52 42	74 66		.08 .04	113 111	127 127
3 Murmansk	76	97		25	127	143
4 Karelian ASSR	47	67	7	99	109	129
5 Komi ASSR	65	90		23	136	150
6 Leningrad - city	75 24	103		.33	146	160
7 Leningrad 8 Novgorod	34 39	53 59		78 91	80 98	94 112
9 Pskov	34	54		81	89	98
10 Brayansk	29	43	3	74	88	102
11 Vladimir	42	61	L	94	102	113
12 Ivanov	50	70		.02	107	122
13 Kalinin 14 Kaluga	43 34	62 52		82 80	88 86	101 97
15 Kostroma	39	62		.02	112	127

16 Moscow	43	54	70	74	81
17 Orlov	28	44	72	85	99
	35	49	69	75	82
18 Ryazan 19 Smolensk	33	51	83	95	111
20 Tula	41	60	100	113	124
21 Yaroslav	49	72	109	114	130
22 Gorkyi	46	67	101	109	116
23 Kirov	45	69	99	106	115
24 Maryi ASSR	31	54	92	101	109
25 Mordva ASSR	23	37	68	81	96
26 Chuvash ASSR	32	52	86	103	117
27 Belgorod	26	43	68	81	94
28 Voronezh	31	47	64	72	80
29 Kursk	25	39	67	82	98
30 Lipetsk	33	57	97	108	116
31 Tambov	27	41	67	83	95
32 Astrakhan	39	52	75	82	96
33 Volgograd	44	59	79	86	96
34 Kuybyshev	53	75	107	117	135
35 Penza	29	46	73	82	91
36 Saratov	45	62	85	96	103
37 Ulyanovsk	30	47	74	84	91
38 Kalmyk ASSR	25	39	53	65	83
39 Tatar ASSR	35	54	92	104	114
40 Krasnodar Krai	47	66	95	106	120
41 Stavropol Krai	37	55	76	84	98
42 Rostov	45	63	100	108	118
43 Dagestan ASSR	22	31	50	58	69
44 Kabardino-Balkar	ASSR 41	58	85	93	101
45 North Ossetin ASS	R 45	67	108	103	119
46 Checheno-Ingush A	SSR 28	40	52	57	63
47 Kurgansk	33	52	82	92	104
48 Orenburg	35	49	78	90	97
49 Perm	50	73	114	121	135
50 Sverdlovsk	60	82	119	125	140
51 Chelyabinsk	62 30	81	109 77	117	130
52 Bashkir ASSR 53 Udmurt ASSR	45	46 66	96	87 104	98 114
54 Altai Krai	34	49	81	95	109
55 Kemerovo	49	69	106	112	126
56 Novosibirsk	47	68	95	100	115
57 Omsk	43	61	98	110	124
58 Tomsk	38	60	93	103	115
59 Tyumen	37	61	133	146	165
60 Krasnoyarski Krai	48	69	106	116	128
61 Irkutsk	42	62	93	111	127
62 Chita	34	49	67	72	87
63 Buryat ASSR	33	56	84	91	108
64 Tuva ASSR	30	42	57	65	71
65 Primorski Krai	62	86	102	104	119
66 Khabarovs Krai	61	91	114	115	129
67 Amur	45	68	90	91	108
68 Kamchatka	82	103	120	130	152
69 Magadan	136	180	172	167	180
70 Sakhalin	99	126	165	156	169
71 Yakut ASSR	71	95	125	136	144
72 Kaliningrad	43	67	97	111	128
Table A12. Russia. C		es, Ruble	es		
	1965	1970	1980	1985	1989
1 Archangel	7.75	13.71	28.81	35.66	53.89
2 Vologda	7.80	15.34	31.48	37.71	56.56
3 Murmansk	13.53	22.09	41.12	49.18	67.06
4 Karelian ASSR	9.39	15.97	31.01	37.73	55.18
5 Komi ASSR	9.24	18.91	38.25	50.28	72.05
6 Leningrad - city	21.77	29.77 11.86	45.54	53.60 33.43	80.12
7 Leningrad 8 Novgorod	5.73 7.63	15.76	27.23 31.49	37.71	49.29 52.32
9 Pskov	5.78	12.74	28.65	32.84	49.18
10 Brayansk	4.88	11.65	29.51	35.92	51.90
11 Vladimir	7.34	15.97	29.07	35.52	52.24
12 Ivanov	11.22	20.97	36.81	41.46	55.25
13 Kalinin	8.44	16.05	30.81	34.71	47.51
14 Kaluga	5.34	11.78	30.08	37.15	49.40
15 Kostroma	7.29	14.77	30.67	41.02	55.98
16 Moscow	6.67	13.72	26.73	32.48	56.04
17 Orlov	4.47	11.07	27.44	33.62	54.88
18 Ryazan	4.75	10.38	26.61	32.56	47.08
19 Smolensk	5.50	13.13	28.02	36.30	52.85
20 Tula	5.83	14.73	29.19	31.15	45.12
21 Yaroslav	8.81	18.85	38.68	45.00	62.76
22 Gorkyi	7.84	16.70	29.93	35.20	50.68
23 Kirov	7.16	14.59	30.33	37.42	49.65
24 Maryi ASSR	5.23	11.45	24.43	28.85	44.70
25 Mordva ASSR	3.81	10.51	28.03	36.17	55.87
26 Chuvash ASSR	3.37	9.21	22.03	30.73	49.53
27 Belgorod	5.30	12.26	28.26	34.42	52.83
28 Voronezh	6.10	11.59	26.48	32.85	47.87
29 Kursk	4.26	10.18	24.30	31.71	47.52
30 Lipetsk	4.40	12.31	27.82	34.31	48.76
31 Tambov	4.76	11.77	25.11	31.32	44.12
32 Astrakhan	7.16	13.19	29.32	38.18	59.85
33 Volgograd	9.14	14.88	28.76	34.38	50.83
34 Kuybyshev	7.90	15.23	30.27	38.68	58.69

35 Penza 36 Saratov 37 Ulyanovsk 38 Kalmyk ASSR 39 Tatar ASSR 40 Krasnodar Krai 41 Stavropol Krai 42 Rostov 43 Dagestan ASSR 44 Kabardino-Balkar ASSR 45 North Ossetin ASSR 46 Checheno-Ingush ASSR 47 Kurgansk 48 Orenburg 49 Perm 50 Sverdlovsk 51 Chelyabinsk 52 Bashkir ASSR 53 Udmurt ASSR 54 Altai Krai 55 Kemerovo 56 Novosibirsk 57 Omsk 58 Tomsk 59 Tyumen 60 Krasnoyarski Krai 61 Irkutsk 62 Chita 63 Buryat ASSR 64 Tuva ASSR 65 Primorski Krai 66 Khabarovs Krai 67 Amur 68 Kamchatka 69 Magadan 70 Sakhalin 71 Yakut ASSR 72 Kaliningrad Table A13. Russia. Consu	5.56 8.33 6.89 3.58 5.76 10.61 8.32 8.85 3.12 8.87 11.12 4.50 6.74 6.04 7.11 9.73 7.54 4.89 6.55 5.43 7.82 8.93 8.76 7.90 6.69 7.09 7.23 5.40 6.35 4.07 9.38 9.43 6.57 12.95 20.61 10.25 7.21 8.86 mption of	10.60 14.46 14.05 8.30 12.15 16.64 16.06 7.63 19.03 20.13 8.93 13.55 12.40 13.46 18.63 15.25 10.96 13.94 12.45 15.79 16.89 16.77 14.21 12.84 14.80 15.31 10.01 13.60 9.27 17.19 18.08 13.99 28.72 38.76 18.95 17.34 16.23 Bread in	26.81 27.48 26.24 19.92 26.86 36.18 33.77 33.63 14.85 39.57 40.47 20.08 33.13 28.90 29.36 30.45 30.34 30.83 31.15 32.14 31.74 31.53 29.90 31.65 29.45 20.37 23.41 18.50 30.75 34.08 28.90 31.65 29.45 20.37 23.41 18.50 30.75 34.08 28.90 30.75 30.75 34.08 35.27 Kg	34.84 36.70 34.08 24.51 33.74 42.51 41.88 40.58 19.67 34.85 35.11 37.77 37.80 38.70 39.59 36.15 35.06 37.36 37.40 38.70 39.59 36.15 35.42 24.37 28.82 24.37 24.48 24.48	51.82 53.05 50.12 40.82 58.00 63.65 59.17 58.28 30.13 65.95 35.71 50.37 53.55 60.68 56.69 50.41 48.11 54.39 49.93 56.179 38.99 44.35 59.70 5
	1965	1970	1980	1985	1989
1 Archangel 2 Vologda 3 Murmansk 4 Karelian ASSR 5 Komi ASSR 6 Leningrad - city 7 Leningrad 8 Novgorod 9 Pskov 10 Brayansk 11 Vladimir 12 Ivanov 13 Kalinin 14 Kaluga 15 Kostroma 16 Moscow 17 Orlov 18 Ryazan 19 Smolensk 20 Tula 21 Yaroslav 22 Gorkyi 23 Kirov 24 Maryi ASSR 25 Mordva ASSR 26 Chuvash ASSR 27 Belgorod 28 Voronezh 29 Kursk 30 Lipetsk 31 Tambov 32 Astrakhan 33 Volgograd 34 Kuybyshev 35 Penza 36 Saratov 37 Ulyanovsk 38 Kalmyk ASSR 39 Tatar ASSR 40 Krasnodar Krai 41 Stavropol Krai 42 Rostov 43 Dagestan ASSR 45 North Ossetin ASSR 46 Checheno-Ingush ASSR 47 Kurgansk 48 Orenburg 49 Perm 50 Sverdlovsk 51 Chelyabinsk 52 Bashkir ASSR 53 Udmurt ASSR	154 187 99 144 128 112 138 184 187 199 193 185 167 189 140 176 171 191 177 166 190 189 170 184 177 190 161 199 163 164 144 136 147 151 138 165 129 163 164 144 136 147 151 151 162 163 164 147 151 151 165 167 168 168 168 168 168 168 168 168	144 162 80 135 127 100 181 170 181 157 171 169 147 179 117 164 185 158 147 159 174 168 166 137 133 131 153 131 155 104 153 137 133 131 155 151 153 153 153 154 155 166 167 179 179 179 179 179 179 179 17	125 136 75 114 125 95 123 138 142 153 136 139 143 141 142 136 143 141 136 143 141 136 143 141 136 143 141 137 148 137 136 117 137 137 137 137 137 137 137 137 137	107 126 69 105 107 92 113 130 129 148 117 122 117 116 135 101 141 142 122 102 102 103 130 137 128 141 131 126 157 124 144 125 115 106 108 115 145 121 134 144 145 157 129 109 123 130 137 128 141 131 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 141 131 137 128 131 137 138 149 106 108 115 121 134 144 144 145 157 165 175 175 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 18	106 123 72 106 123 72 106 110 102 116 125 129 135 114 120 107 112 125 101 136 140 113 98 106 122 111 130 130 130 131 131 135 120 108 109 143 1322 101 135 120 108 109 143 131 135 120 108 109 143 131 131 115 145 102 84 91 114 118 119 95 110 116 147

54 Altai Krai 55 Kemerovo 56 Novosibirsk 57 Omsk 58 Tomsk 59 Tyumen 60 Krasnoyarski Krai 61 Irkutsk 62 Chita 63 Buryat ASSR 64 Tuva ASSR 65 Primorski Krai 66 Khabarovs Krai 67 Amur 68 Kamchatka 69 Magadan 70 Sakhalin 71 Yakut ASSR 72 Kaliningrad Table A14. Russia. Delive					
1 Archangel		1970 27 0	1980 27 6		
1 Archangel 2 Vologda 3 Murmansk 4 Karelian ASSR 5 Komi ASSR 6 Leningrad - city 7 Leningrad 8 Novgorod 9 Pskov 10 Brayansk 11 Vladimir 12 Ivanov 13 Kalinin 14 Kaluga 15 Kostroma 16 Moscow 17 Orlov 18 Ryazan 19 Smolensk 20 Tula 21 Yaroslav 22 Gorkyi 23 Kirov 24 Maryi ASSR 25 Mordva ASSR 26 Chuvash ASSR 27 Belgorod 28 Voronezh 29 Kursk 30 Lipetsk 31 Tambov 32 Astrakhan 33 Volgograd 34 Kuybyshev 35 Penza 30 Saratov 37 Ulyanovsk 38 Kalmyk ASSR 39 Tatar ASSR 40 Krasnodar Krai 41 Stavropol Krai 42 Rostov 43 Dagestan ASSR 44 Kabardino-Balkar ASSR 45 North Ossetin ASSR 46 Checheno-Ingush ASSR 47 Kurgansk 48 Orenburg 49 Perm 50 Sverdlovsk 51 Chelyabinsk 52 Bashkir ASSR 54 Altai Krai 55 Kemerovo 56 Novosibirsk 57 Omsk 58 Tomsk 59 Tyumen 60 Krasnoyarski Krai 61 Irkutsk 62 Chita 63 Buryat ASSR 64 Tuva ASSR 65 Primorski Krai 66 Khabarovs Krai 67 Amur 68 Kamchatka 69 Magadan 69 Magadan 70 Sakhalin 71 Yakut ASSR 72 Kaliningrad	21.5 15.7 29.6 16.6 15.3 10.7 13.6 14.8 13.3 11.7 12.1 11.7 12.8 11.7 12.8 12.8 12.3 10.9 12.1 12.9 12.1 12.9 12.1 12.9 12.1 12.9 12.1 12.9 12.1 12.1	27.0 21.5 321.7 19.7 16.2 17.1 15.9 15.9 15.3 17.2 18.7 13.8 17.2 18.7 13.8 17.2 18.7 13.8 17.2 18.7 13.8 17.2 18.7 13.8 17.1 13.8 17.1 13.8 17.1 13.8 17.1 13.8 17.1 13.8 17.1 13.8 17.1 13.8 17.1 13.8 17.1 13.8 14.0 15.7 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.1	27.6 15.7 48.5 25.3 17.3 16.1 17.5 18.6 12.1 17.5 18.6 14.2 13.3 14.1 15.5 15.4 16.3 17.1 15.4 16.3 17.1 17.0 16.3 17.1 17.1 18.6 19.0 11.7 10.0 11.7	23.0 15.9 16.7 19.9 15.0 12.6 17.5 12.1 16.2 15.2 16.2 15.7 16.2 15.7 16.2 15.7 16.2 15.7 16.2 15.7 16.2 15.7 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.3 16.4 16.3 16.4 16.3 16.4 16.3 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.4 16.5 16.6 16.7 16.6 16.7 16.6 16.6 16.6 16.6 16.7 16.6	28.8 15.3 21.9 13.8 15.2 16.1 16.7 12.2 13.5 10.6 19.7 14.0 15.1 13.6 12.4 13.7 14.0 15.1 13.6 12.4 13.7 14.0 15.1 16.1 16.7 17.0 18.0 19.7 19.7 11.4 10.5 10.6 10.7

Table Al5. Russia. Delivery of Eggs to State Retail Trade (units)

Table Mis. Rabbia. Belive	1965	1970	1980	1985	1989
1 Archangel	50 19	69 111	231 235	258 263	260 266
2 Vologda 3 Murmansk	114	145	240	245	200
4 Karelian ASSR	45	116	269	261	242
5 Komi ASSR	62 214	91 240	262 248	254 247	237 231
6 Leningrad - city 7 Leningrad	85	155	248	247	231
8 Novgorod	20	65	190	223	237
9 Pskov	20	29	157	187	235
10 Brayansk 11 Vladimir	18 35	30 48	130 193	176 222	180 206
12 Ivanov	33	82	247	254	241
13 Kalinin	33	61	204	232	236
14 Kaluga 15 Kostroma	20 21	57 49	175 200	188 254	177 270
16 Moscow	70	94	188	198	182
17 Orlov	22	29	137	160	172
18 Ryazan 19 Smolensk	15 18	42 40	148 114	190 178	187 190
20 Tula	42	70	195	199	198
21 Yaroslav	42	62	197	243	247
22 Gorkyi 23 Kirov	45 17	60 59	184 197	221 210	240 226
24 Maryi ASSR	20	73	177	194	184
25 Mordva ASSR	14	36	138	161	174
26 Chuvash ASSR	12	20	130	156	161
27 Belgorod 28 Voronezh	27 23	46 42	108 115	134 144	139 153
29 Kursk	17	25	123	144	132
30 Lipetsk	33	85	149	162	146
31 Tambov 32 Astrakhan	14 20	49 28	129 110	161 180	162 197
33 Volgograd	30	35	143	167	162
34 Kuybyshev	37	57	177	189	204
35 Penza 36 Saratov	22 27	40 53	158 159	173 180	176 164
37 Ulyanovsk	23	56	159	182	174
38 Kalmyk ASSR	13	32	101	110	125
39 Tatar ASSR	21 43	35 54	131 104	175 127	178 87
40 Krasnodar Krai 41 Stavropol Krai	40	50	112	122	132
42 Rostov	36	43	117	155	158
43 Dagestan ASSR	10	19	75	117	137
44 Kabardino-Balkar ASSR 45 North Ossetin ASSR	24 19	43 32	113 117	156 165	140 148
46 Checheno-Ingush ASSR	12	35	94	115	101
47 Kurgansk	27	33	149	167	175
48 Orenburg 49 Perm	18 43	32 64	136 189	158 226	148 247
50 Sverdlovsk	62	98	239	251	261
51 Chelyabinsk	60	76	172	189	197
52 Bashkir ASSR 53 Udmurt ASSR	22 23	30 52	111 165	131 202	145 220
54 Altai Krai	21	29	125	131	142
55 Kemerovo	41	69	208	229	226
56 Novosibirsk	37 24	75 5.6	181	208	195
57 Omsk 58 Tomsk	53	56 91	188 249	241 258	242 257
59 Tyumen	36	91	254	284	318
60 Krasnoyarski Krai	51	85	198	227	232
61 Irkutsk 62 Chita	46 25	77 56	200 154	239 168	264 191
63 Buryat ASSR	18	46	154	182	239
64 Tuva ASSR	23	17	76	133	180
65 Primorski Krai 66 Khabarovs Krai	43 46	74 81	191 219	206 230	236 236
67 Amur	37	77	200	219	226
68 Kamchatka	101	137	277	267	234
69 Magadan 70 Sakhalin	99 72	280 105	332 211	285 219	252 213
71 Yakut ASSR	72	86	206	199	238
72 Kaliningrad	30	45	186	238	246
Table A16. Russia. Consum	ption of	Sugar in	Kg		
	1965	1970	1980	1985	1989
1 Archangel	44.3 44.3	48.2 51.6	52.4 52.6	51.7 49.6	53.6 55.4
2 Vologda 3 Murmansk	44.3	43.0	52.6 42.7	49.6 42.1	55.4 46.3
4 Karelian ASSR	43.5	45.5	49.5	46.3	51.1
5 Komi ASSR	38.3	41.8	47.2	44.6	50.3
6 Leningrad - city 7 Leningrad	41.5 42.6	41.2 44.1	41.8 45.4	40.9 44.8	45.6 44.9
8 Novgorod	43.7	50.0	54.3	47.9	53.6
9 Pskov	36.3	43.8	59.2	46.5	52.4
10 Brayansk 11 Vladimir	33.7 39.8	42.6 45.3	56.9 50.0	55.2 49.2	68.4 55.1
11 Viadimir 12 Ivanov	40.2	45.3	48.0	49.2	56.3
13 Kalinin	42.6	48.4	53.9	48.5	56.5
14 Kaluga	32.8	42.5	46.0	46.5	56.2
15 Kostroma	42.5	46.8	50.8	49.1	60.2

	Moscow Orlov	42.2 33.3		1.1 5.0	41.2 60.3	40.0 58.3	44.9 62.2
18	Ryazan	35.5	40	0.0	50.4	46.4	60.3
	Smolensk Tula	33.9 39.1		8.4 1.7	46.4 51.9	45.6 49.6	55.6 57.9
21	Yaroslav	42.5	4	4.0	49.8	46.1	54.1
	Gorkyi Kirov	38.3 37.3		4.2 1.9	50.3 46.8	46.5 45.1	52.0 50.1
	Maryi ASSR	29.8		5.7	46.9	46.9	51.0
	Mordva ASSR	30.4		8.4	50.9	51.8	55.3
	Chuvash ASSR Belgorod	27.8 32.6		3.8 3.2	44.9 54.9	45.7 51.3	50.2 52.3
28	Voronezh	32.5	41	1.0	48.6	47.0	49.5
	Kursk Lipetsk	33.1 31.8		4.7 9.2	61.2 49.7	62.7 47.0	57.2 56.5
31	Tambov	34.0	4	4.5	48.6	47.1	52.4
	Astrakhan Volgograd	43.0 38.7		2.2 9.8	50.1 47.0	48.4 41.9	53.6 50.7
	Kuybyshev	37.2		2.4	43.0	42.2	46.8
	Penza Saratov	34.9		3.9 3.0	53.2 49.8	47.0 41.9	50.1 48.8
	Ulyanovsk	38.3 34.8	4:	5.8	47.1	43.7	50.3
	Kalmyk ASSR	26.4	26	5.9	34.2	32.0	38.0
	Tatar ASSR Krasnodar Krai	37.3	4:	5.3 1.1	50.8 47.4	49.7 48.6	55.7 49.7
41	Stavropol Krai	34.8 26.4 37.3 37.0 35.1 36.2	3′	7.9	43.3	43.5	45.8
	Rostov Dagestan ASSR	23.0	26	9.0 5.3	42.6 34.7	39.4 36.2	44.8 41.5
44	Kabardino-Balkar ASSR	30.0	3.	1.1	39.7	37.0	42.9
	North Ossetin ASSR Checheno-Ingush ASSR	33.1 27.5		5.6 1.3	42.5 38.0	40.1 38.5	44.7 40.9
47	Kurgansk	31.4	38	8.5	45.5	41.4	48.9
	Orenburg Perm	31.7 36.8		8.5 5.0	44.1 49.0	44.4 46.3	48.8 51.3
	Sverdlovsk	39.1		2.6	46.9	45.0	49.9
	Chelyabinsk	39.1 36.0 32.9 34.5		1.1 0.4	45.4 44.2	45.3 45.3	48.5 52.2
	Bashkir ASSR Udmurt ASSR	34.5		0.9	49.5	44.5	47.5
				1.2	37.8	41.8	48.8
	Kemerovo Novosibirsk	34.1 34.5		3.9 9.4	46.5 43.7	42.3 41.0	48.3 48.2
57	Omsk	33.4	3.9	9.2	48.1	46.7	51.6
	Tomsk Tyumen	38.7 36.3		5.1 2.2	51.2 52.7	50.9 46.9	53.4 62.8
60	Krasnoyarski Krai	34.9	3.9	9.4	46.6	43.6	48.3
	Irkutsk Chita	33.9 31.1		7.8 2.4	43.1 38.8	41.8 37.6	45.0 46.4
	Buryat ASSR	30 2					
63	Bulyat ASSK	30.2	34	4.0	39.6	37.0	40.7
64	Tuva ASSR	31.1 30.2 25.1	25	5.4	37.5	38.3	42.2
64 65		25.1 37.5 36.9	2! 46				
64 65 66 67	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur	37.5 36.9 34.1	25 46 44 39	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4
64 65 66 67 68	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka	37.5 36.9	25 46 47 39 47	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9	37.5 44.6 48.4	38.3 47.1 46.9	42.2 55.0 57.6
64 65 66 67 68 69 70	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7	25 46 44 35 47 51	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9
64 65 66 67 68 69 70	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4	25 46 47 35 47 55 41	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7	29 44 44 39 47 51 41 46 42	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ble A17. Russia. Delive	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ole A17. Russia. Delive	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad sle A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 42 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 43 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 43 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 43 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ple A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 43 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 Tak	Tuva ASSR Primorski Krai Khabarovs Krai Amur Kamchatka Magadan Sakhalin Yakut ASSR Kaliningrad ole A17. Russia. Delive Archangel Vologda Murmansk Karelian ASSR	37.5 36.9 34.1 41.1 44.2 36.7 40.4 39.7 ry of Me	29 46 44 39 47 55 42 46 43 eat to	5.4 6.1 4.0 9.8 7.9 1.6 1.9 6.3 3.9 State	37.5 44.6 48.4 50.2 51.8 50.6 46.1 47.9 45.5 Retail	38.3 47.1 46.9 50.7 52.0 50.0 45.1 54.7 45.9 Trade in	42.2 55.0 57.6 54.4 63.1 54.7 54.9 55.8 49.4

35 Penza 36 Saratov 37 Ulyanovsk 38 Kalmyk ASSR 39 Tatar ASSR 40 Krasnodar Krai 41 Stavropol Krai 42 Rostov 43 Dagestan ASSR 44 Kabardino-Balkar ASSR 45 North Ossetin ASSR 46 Checheno-Ingush ASSR 47 Kurgansk 48 Orenburg 49 Perm 50 Sverdlovsk 51 Chelyabinsk 52 Bashkir ASSR 54 Altai Krai 55 Kemerovo 66 Novosibirsk 57 Omsk 58 Tomsk 59 Tyumen 60 Krasnoyarski Krai 61 Irkutsk 62 Chita 63 Buryat ASSR 64 Tuva ASSR 65 Primorski Krai 66 Khabarovs Krai 67 Amur 68 Kamchatka 69 Magadan 70 Sakhalin 71 Yakut ASSR 72 Kaliningrad Table A18. Russia. Delives	10.2 18.6 12.7 6.8 13.6 14.4 18.9 13.4 13.6 10.1 10.0 13.8 23.0 31.2 27.6 11.3 25.6 11.3 25.6 11.3 25.6 11.4 12.5 11.5 1	14.6 1: 20.4 2: 12.7 2: 12.2 1: 19.3 2: 20.0 2: 16.7 2: 17.6 2: 17.6 2: 17.6 2: 17.6 2: 17.6 2: 16.2 1: 28.2 337.0 4: 32.7 4: 16.1 2: 28.2 1: 32.4 4: 22.4 2: 28.5 3: 26.1 2: 30.5 3: 26.1 2: 31.9 3: 33.2 4: 22.4 2: 22.4 3: 32.0 4: 33.2 6: 33.3 6: 33.2 6: 33.2 6: 33.2 6: 33.3 7: 33.3 6: 33.3 6: 33.3 7: 33.3 6: 33.3 7: 33.3 6: 33.3 7: 33.3 6: 33.3 7: 33.3 6: 33.3 7: 33.3 6:	9.0 24.2 1.6 28.4 4.3 30.7 3.1 17.1 4.3 28.8 4.8 34.0 2.5 28.3 3.1 28.2 4.8 18.9 0.4 26.7 4.2 31.6 6.0 22.3 0.6 25.6 6.0 22.3 0.6 25.6 6.2 43.6 8.7 56.7 2.9 49.9 1.2 26.3 6.6 52.0 0.6 36.5 7.8 22.6 0.6 45.1 0.6 45.3 7.8 22.6 3.1 45.1 0.6 45.3 7.7 35.8 3.1 45.1 0.6 45.3 0.6 45.3 0.6 45.3 0.6 45.3 0.6 45.3 0.6 45.3 0.6 45.3 0.7 39.3 0.8 85.4 0.8 85.7 4.8 85.7 4.9 88.5 6.7 43.2 8.1 75.7 4.8 88.5 7.9 9 45.9 7.9 45.9 8.1 75.7 4.6 88.5 8.7 70.9 8.8 8 70.9 8.8	40.0 40.5 46.0 22.0 38.2 39.3 35.3 33.2 231.0 36.8 19.8 27.8 35.1 44.8 59.0 55.1 30.0 39.2 34.9 56.1 47.1 34.4 47.6 57.7 47.5 49.0 40.7 44.7 27.8 54.3 44.8 65.2 74.3 47.4 Trade in	
	1905	19/0	1980	1985	341
1 Archangel 2 Vologda 3 Murmansk 4 Karelian ASSR 5 Komi ASSR 6 Leningrad - city 7 Leningrad 8 Novgorod 9 Pskov 10 Brayansk 11 Vladimir 12 Ivanov 13 Kalinin 14 Kaluga 15 Kostroma 16 Moscow 17 Orlov 18 Ryazan 19 Smolensk 20 Tula 21 Yaroslav 22 Gorkyi 23 Kirov 24 Maryi ASSR 26 Chuvash ASSR 26 Chuvash ASSR 27 Belgorod 28 Voronezh 29 Kursk 30 Lipetsk 31 Tambov 32 Astrakhan 33 Volgograd 34 Kuybyshev 35 Penza 36 Saratov 37 Ulyanovsk 38 Kalmyk ASSR 39 Tatar ASSR 40 Krasnodar Krai 41 Stavropol Krai 42 Rostov 43 Dagestan ASSR 45 North Ossetin ASSR 46 Checheno-Ingush ASSR 47 Kurgansk 48 Orenburg 49 Perm 50 Sverdlovsk 51 Chelyabinsk 52 Bashkir ASSR 53 Udmurt ASSR	212 196 350 168 117 86 97 128 179 128 179 138 188 133 108 133 108 133 108 133 144 147 163 181 135 144 143 144 144 147 147 143 144 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147	268 195 356 256 180 143 137 229 237 216 160 180 208 172 200 264 196 172 217 160 120 127 160 120 127 160 120 127 160 120 127 160 120 121 171 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 19	252 343 315 286 471 266 186 160 175 217 230 189 145 230 186 210 225 228 226 208 156 133 151 156 171 136 182 143 200 214 241 157 212 183 222 148 223 224 241 241 257 217 217 218 219 219 219 219 219 219 219 219	298 273 491 196 176 199 227 196 174 227 191 180 217 189 262 261 211 179 166 192 222 220 180 218 195 200 180 218 195 222 223 194 249 249 253 194 249 253 194 249 253 194 249 253 194 249 253 253 264 265 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276	348 362 508 296 237 209 280 281 312 264 257 248 257 248 257 281 234 275 281 275 282 243 280 285 295 303 315 304 275 281 275 287 280 285 317 280 285 317 280 281 281 281 281 281 281 281 281

54	Altai Krai	106	141	163	169	252
55	Kemerovo	152	212	262	260	356
56	Novosibirsk	171	216	251	239	321
57	Omsk	143	195	218	209	295
58	Tomsk	190	254	282	268	329
59	Tyumen	123	179	299	305	386
60	Krasnoyarski Krai	168	209	243	242	320
61	Irkutsk	187	230	256	245	325
62	Chita	135	186	204	195	302
63	Buryat ASSR	158	183	213	206	284
64	Tuva ASSR	97	113	156	150	220
65	Primorski Krai	150	225	276	257	342
66	Khabarovs Krai	172	250	279	262	347
67	Amur	111	160	216	233	323
68	Kamchatka	284	343	354	348	395
69	Magadan	303	485	391	344	440
70	Sakhalin	261	308	351	334	383
71	Yakut ASSR	371	400	383	349	399
72	Kaliningrad	187	275	242	276	334

Table A19. Ukraine. Money Income of the Population

		1970	1975	1980	1985	1990
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ $	Dnepropetrovskaya ob Donetskaya ob Donetskaya ob Zhitomirskaya ob Zakarpatskaya ob Zaporozhskaya ob Ivano-Frankovskaya Kievskaya ob Kiev (city) Kirovogradskaya ob Krymskaya ob Luganskaya ob Lvovskaya ob Nikolayevskaya ob Odesskaya ob Poltavskaya ob Sovenskaya ob Sovenskaya ob Sovenskaya ob Khar'kovskaya ob Khar'kovskaya ob Khersonskaya ob Khersonskaya ob	739.4 561.8 564.2 862.4 872.3 561.7 572.7 847.8 505.6 556.9 1069.3 695.7 951.2 857.8 664.7 780.8 791.6 690.0 546.8 634.6 513.9 868.0	972.4 782.5 746.0 1093.5 1100.0 819.9 774.2 1106.2 129.1 802.3 1472.1 919.5 1155.6 1084.5 891.3 1000.4 992.8 933.6 754.5 1112.3 1038.2	1201.9 978.3 983.0 1326.0 1345.5 1017.6 1024.2 1311.1 969.9 1044.3 1672.9 1175.1 1356.4 1332.2 1141.8 1240.3 1221.3 1205.1 967.0 957.0 957.0 117.8 1218.0	1442.4 1277.4 1255.7 1538.5 1573.5 1320.9 1215.4 1537.5 1218.2 1297.4 1766.0 1466.3 1531.3 1591.1 1370.2 1496.9 1411.4 1506.8 1508.1 1402.1 1208.1 1208.1 1431.9	2141.8 1909.2 1891.6 2234.6 2234.4 1724.2 2284.1 1777.1 2075.0 2886.9 2164.1 2320.0 2195.5 1994.7 2237.3 2186.3 2151.1 1814.8 2006.1 1875.0 2287.3 2188.3
23 24 25 26	Cherkasskaya ob Chernovitskaya ob	528.3 636.0 546.8 571.0	778.9 862.3 755.7 829.2	991.6 1084.0 941.9 1058.7	1288.0 1403.2 1173.3 1308.4	1931.5 2055.6 1769.9 1939.3

Table A20. Ukraine. Bank Savings, End of 1989

	Vinnitskaya ob	1580.2
	Volynskaya ob	1309.2
	Dnepropetrovskaya ob	1407.6
	Donetskaya ob	1387.1
	Zhitomirskaya ob	1508.8
6	Zakarpatskaya ob	1041.4
7	Zaporozhskaya ob	1475.5
8	Ivano-Frankovskaya	1162.9
9	Kievskaya ob	1595.9
10	Kiev (city)	1574.2
11	Kirovogradskaya ob	1461.3
12		1457.7
13	2	1360.3
14		1327.3
15		1352.4
16	Odesskaya ob	1496.8
17		1704.1
18		1099.4
		1514.0
	Sumskaya ob	
	Ternopol'skaya ob	1310.1
21	Khar'kovskaya ob	1472.3
22		1357.2
23		1467.1
	Cherkasskaya ob	1729.9
25		887.2
26	Chernigovskaya ob	1822.0

Table A21. Ukraine. Sales of Alcoholic Beverages in Rubles and Consumption of Pure Alcohol in Liters

	1980	1985	1990	1970	1989
	Liters	Liters	Liters	Rubles	Rubles
1 Vinnitskaya ob 2 Volynskaya ob 3 Dnepropetrovskaya ob 4 Donetskaya ob 5 Zhitomirskaya ob 6 Zakarpatskaya ob 7 Zaporozhskaya ob 8 Ivano-Frankovskaya 9 Kievskaya ob 10 Kiev (city) 11 Kirovogradskaya ob 12 Krymskaya ob 13 Luganskaya ob 14 L'vovskaya ob 15 Nikolayevskaya ob 16 Odesskaya ob 17 Poltavskaya ob 18 Rovenskaya ob 19 Sumskaya ob 20 Ternopol'skaya ob 21 Khar'kovskaya ob 22 Khersonskaya ob 23 Khmel'nitskaya ob 24 Cherkasskaya ob 26 Chernoivtskaya ob 26 Chernoivtskaya ob	3.8 5.5 6.2 7.0 4.3 8.4 7.9 5.2 4.0 5.5 10.3 7.0 6.0 4.8 5.8 6.0 4.8 5.1 8.1 7.1 8.3 4.9 7.1	2.9 4.8 5.9 3.8 6.5 5.1 4.6 7.7 5.4 6.7 5.2 4.4 5.4 5.4 4.1 5.4 6.2 4.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4	2.3 3.4 4.9 2.8 5.8 5.5 4.5 4.2 3.3 3.9 3.3 4.4 4.7 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8	5.40 6.72 9.22 10.28 5.52 8.81 10.25 6.15 5.68 11.57 6.96 15.32 10.60 7.95 9.11 9.76 6.61 6.61 6.01 11.03 10.38 5.58 5.87 6.26	97. 29 185.14 158. 88 159.29 73.24 197.67 110.75 180.16 178.21 175.99 111.38 120.14 146.00 103.39 138.99 138.99 142.58 85.45 95.94

Table A22. Ukraine. Sales in State Retail Trade

		1970	1975	1980	1985	1989
1	Vinnitskaya ob	434.8	580.3	731.5	929.9	1144.0
	Volynskaya ob	423.9	579.4	745.9	900.1	1134.4
3	Dnepropetrovskaya ob	594.5	785.5	956.4	1100.1	1274.0
4	Donetskaya ob	631.3	815.1	988.5	1129.2	1374.2
5	Zhitomirskaya ob	437.9	597.9	732.7	887.1	1112.2
6	Zakarpatskaya ob	471.1	679.2	857.2	1014.6	1286.3
7	Zaporozhskaya ob	607.7	819.6	1007.1	1144.0	1389.6
8	Ivano-Frankovskaya	391.3	582.3	753.9	912.1	1147.5
9	Kievskaya ob	408.5	558.5	712.6	841.2	1045.0
10	Kiev (city)	952.0	1293.5	1544.5	1649.4	2039.5
11	Kirovogradskaya ob	509.1	659.6	807.3	974.8	1172.7
12	Krymskaya ob	739.8	1035.6	1223.4	1313.4	1583.3
13	Luganskaya ob	639.2	801.3	976.8	1140.5	1370.5
14	L'vovskaya ob	517.4	708.7	908.9	1051.8	1318.7
15	Nikolayevskaya ob	551.6	759.5	938.0	1118.2	1336.2
16	Odesskaya ob	603.1	794.0	957.7	1065.4	1315.3
17	Poltavskaya ob	511.5	679.4	845.4	1010.0	1224.9
18	Rovenskaya ob	402.3	577.9	726.6	869.4	1079.9
19	Sumskaya ob	489.8	642.9	791.6	964.0	1181.2
20	Ternopol'skaya ob	395.1	537.3	689.3	854.1	1096.5
21	Khar'kovskaya ob	649.7	836.9	997.6	1128.0	1349.6
22	Khersonskaya ob	568.8	794.7	940.5	1084.8	1327.6
23	Khmel'nitskaya ob	420.6	585.2	739.8	909.4	1133.9
24	Cherkasskaya ob	492.1	658.7	807.1	987.8	1235.7
25	Chernovitskaya ob	475.3	632.6	796.0	937.3	1164.7
26	Chernigovskaya ob	455.8	614.6	777.8	912.5	1142.5

Table A23. Ukraine. Sales of Food and Nonfood Products in State Trade

		1970 Food	1985 Food	1989 Food	1970 Nonfood	1985 Nonfood	1989 Nonfood
$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2$	Donetskaya ob Zhitomirskaya ob Zakarpatskaya ob Zakarpatskaya ob Zaporozhskaya ob Ivano-Frankovskaya Kievskaya ob Kiev (city) Kirovogradskaya ob Krymskaya ob Luganskaya ob Luganskaya ob Dikolayevskaya ob Nikolayevskaya ob Odesskaya ob Poltavskaya ob Rovenskaya ob Ternopol'skaya ob Khar'kovskaya ob Khersonskaya ob Cherkasskaya ob Chernovitskaya ob	203 220 338 371 218 268 341 219 204 469 246 476 360 297 327 262 212 240 198 366 313 203 233 222	378 412 509 557 397 502 536 437 367 773 427 672 556 517 504 496 457 392 452 376 535 491 381 381	465 500 569 642 489 591 611 527 426 905 480 764 637 613 554 572 476 533 472 575 436 482	222 218 291 287 207 232 301 188 213 454 264 347 293 243 281 308 256 205 231 202 312 202 210 264 268	552 488 591 572 490 513 608 475 474 876 547 641 585 514 570 553 477 512 478 593 594 528	683 620 701 737 622 671 772 604 605 1117 789 730 691 771 738 682 605 646 617 731 748 667 753
26	Chernigovskaya ob	233	429	520	213	483	625

Table A24. Ukraine. State Public Dining

		1970	1975	1985	1989
1	Vinnitskaya ob	43.70	56.3	78.5	90.7
2	Volynskaya ob	57.00	69.9	89.5	105.2
3	Dnepropetrovskaya ob	70.40	86.8	108.0	117.7
4	Donetskaya ob	65.62	78.8	102.1	114.6
5	Zhitomirskaya ob	45.38	64.0	81.7	90.6
6	Zakarpatskaya ob	73.15	94.2	130.9	153.3
7	Zaporozhskaya ob	73.60	91.6	111.5	126.7
8	Ivano-Frankovskaya	57.94	76.3	105.9	124.5
9	Kievskaya ob	38.26	47.4	60.0	75.8
10	Kiev (city)	82.93	110.5	119.8	137.4
11	Kirovogradskaya ob	50.17	64.1	85.0	98.9
12	Krymskaya ob	89.13	102.8	99.6	110.0
13	Luganskaya ob	67.82	80.1	107.9	120.9
14	L'vovskaya ob	69.27	89.0	114.8	129.3
15	Nikolayevskaya ob	56.69	71.0	88.1	96.9
16	Odesskaya ob	69.16	85.8	105.6	115.8
17	Poltavskaya ob	51.90	68.6	90.4	101.5
18	Rovenskaya ob	52.95	66.6	85.9	97.7
19	Sumskaya ob	45.76	59.9	77.9	89.2
20	Ternopol'skaya ob	51.45	64.4	80.2	99.4
21	Khar'kovskaya ob	74.00	87.1	105.7	117.2
	Khersonskaya ob	61.41	73.8	82.5	94.8
23		43.13	59.0	82.1	92.3
24		50.32	66.8	79.0	91.4
25		57.54	73.6	94.4	114.9
26	Chernigovskaya ob	37.40	47.6	66.7	80.0

Table A25. Ukraine. State Consumer Services

		1970	1975	1980	1985	1990
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Zakarpatskaya ob Zaporozhskaya ob Ivano-Frankovskaya Kievskaya ob Kiev (city) Kirovogradskaya ob Krymskaya ob Luganskaya ob L'vovskaya ob Nikolayevskaya ob Odesskaya ob Poltavskaya ob Rovenskaya ob Sumskaya ob	1970 16.8 10.5 12.1 17.5 15.6 14.4 13.4 20.0 13.0 10.5 29.8 14.5 26.6 17.6 19.1 16.6 19.0 16.1 11.8 11.4 21.3 15.6	27.2 20.9 20.4 27.3 25.6 27.1 21.3 33.2 23.4 26.7 45.4 27.0 38.0 26.1 30.0 29.8 27.3 19.2 19.6 22.2 30.0 27.5	30.5 24.0 25.0 32.4 29.8 27.5 23.0 36.5 26.9 26.1 51.1 31.4 41.6 30.7 32.5 30.5 32.7 27.0 22.2 20.5 26.7 36.0	38.6 33.4 32.9 39.9 35.9 36.7 31.9 44.8 36.7 33.4 60.4 38.2 48.0 35.3 40.7 39.4 39.5 37.4 29.6 37.4 42.8	1990 57.4 50.0 52.0 61.2 52.0 60.1 54.0 53.6 86.2 58.5 51.6 56.0 58.3 62.3 55.8 49.7 55.2 61.1 60.5
23 24 25	Khmel'nitskaya ob Cherkasskaya ob Chernovitskaya ob Chernigovskaya ob	10.4 12.4 18.8 13.2	19.8 21.3 29.3 24.1	20.8 25.0 30.6 23.4	38.8 34.3 37.8 33.3	51.1 57.8 57.4 48.6

Table A26. Ukraine. Sales of Sugar, Meat, Sausages in State Trade, Rubles

	Sugar	Sugar	Meat	Meat	Sausage	Sausage
	1970	1989	1970	1989	1970	1989
1 Vinnitskaya ob 2 Volynskaya ob 3 Dnepropetrovskaya ob 4 Donetskaya ob 5 Zhitomirskaya ob 6 Zakarpatskaya ob 7 Zaporozhskaya ob 8 Ivano-Frankovskaya 9 Kievskaya ob 10 Kiev (city) 11 Kirovogradskaya ob 12 Krymskaya ob 13 Luganskaya ob 14 L'vovskaya ob 15 Nikolayevskaya ob 16 Odesskaya ob 17 Poltavskaya ob 18 Rovenskaya ob 19 Sumskaya ob 20 Ternopol'skaya ob 21 Khar'kovskaya ob 21 Khar'kovskaya ob 23 Khmel'nitskaya ob 24 Cherkasskaya ob 25 Chernovitskaya ob	17.92 17.65 24.87 25.29 22.31 16.96 25.50 19.45 24.41 18.42 23.90 21.26 25.52 22.07 20.81 20.74 22.91 16.59 24.46 14.51 22.36 24.21 17.85 23.55 17.11 23.11	25.60 22.74 23.15 22.80 22.74 21.61 24.03 22.75 22.25 24.33 23.15 22.61 21.44 24.52 25.10 23.55 22.05 24.53 23.63	11.71 13.22 29.08 31.78 10.22 16.11 25.42 10.14 48.85 15.75 43.44 30.03 18.93 24.22 28.05 14.59 11.71 10.16 32.17 22.99 14.61 13.20 8.54	32.78 33.46 60.64 56.59 36.33 40.18 53.03 30.74 35.79 99.45 41.91 78.99 57.21 45.21 51.36 52.30 33.13 29.43 32.42 77 48.93 44.46 37.12 36.82 29.47	10.51 12.22 26.12 29.94 10.20 9.51 23.65 10.40 49.45 12.66 29.26 28.11 17.86 18.18 22.07 12.34 10.92 11.67 13.59 23.76 15.22 10.99 12.03 12.96	45.73 36.66 50.88 64.17 39.56 29.49 52.35 35.96 33.13 107.13 36.52 57.72 49.04 48.92 40.05 37.24 34.85 35.89 42.13 58.69 46.55 44.56 38.21 30.19 28.11

Table A27. Ukraine. Sales of Butter, Milk, Fish and Eggs in State Retail Trade in Rubles

		Butter 1970	Butter 1989	Milk 1970	Milk 1989	Fish 1970	Fish 1989	Eggs 1970	Eggs 1989
1	Vinnitskaya ob	7.32	14.49	8.67	19.39	8.09	10.78	0.83	5.98
	Volynskaya ob	5.03	16.42	9.07	22.59	5.14	8.63	1.19	7.10
	Dnepropetrovskaya ob	14.37	19.65	26.29	32.05	8.91	11.39	5.56	12.80
	Donetskaya ob	13.80	23.06	28.93	36.94	10.97	14.83	7.93	17.12
	Zhitomirskaya ob	9.04	17.27	9.54	26.60	7.55	10.97	0.68	7.57
	Zakarpatskaya ob	5.29	8.66	13.53	21.67	3.19	5.76	1.76	12.71
	Zaporozhskaya ob	12.98	21.40	23.66	33.30	10.76	14.98	3.55	13.19
	Ivano-Frankovskaya	7.45	13.22	12.36	22.86	3.22	7.13	1.24	8.47
	Kievskaya ob	8.30	17.17	8.64	25.86	6.99	10.06	0.95	7.98
	Kiev (city)	19.68	35.69	33.20	50.80	13.20	18.34	11.04	18.58
	Kirovogradskaya ob	9.32	17.85	14.76	24.34	8.21	9.65	1.83	7.32
	Krymskaya ob	18.46	25.33	36.00	44.93	12.61	19.27	10.68	16.31
	Luganskaya ob	13.04	24.39	25.58	34.90	8.72	12.56	6.72	14.74
14	L'vovskaya ob	11.85	20.48	19.48	28.93	5.06	8.26	2.42	10.62
15	Nikolayevskaya ob	11.88	22.33	16.58	25.65	8.72	13.14	3.40	10.68
16	Odesskaya ob	12.88	20.72	18.71	27.35	10.67	15.05	4.63	13.50
17	Poltavskaya ob	9.45	14.63	15.91	26.72	7.88	12.44	1.96	8.07
18	Rovenskaya ob	5.52	13.63	8.61	22.83	5.42	10.33	0.67	8.40
19	Sumskaya ob	7.93	14.24	11.69	27.64	10.12	14.32	0.99	8.14
20	Ternopol'skaya ob	6.94	11.16	8.30	21.24	3.84	6.83	0.66	4.75
21	Khar'kovskaya ob	14.33	20.04	24.49	36.18	10.66	13.53	5.29	14.09
22	Khersonskaya ob	10.60	18.07	18.19	29.21	9.85	14.30	2.71	9.03
23	Khmel'nitskaya ob	7.69	12.39	7.32	18.95	6.02	7.70	0.81	5.61
24	Cherkasskaya ob	8.73	15.58	13.32	27.20	8.54	11.33	1.65	7.52
25	Chernovitskaya ob	7.40	12.92	12.83	22.29	4.75	6.62	1.51	9.44
26	Chernigovskaya ob	7.72	12.34	8.35	21.54	8.19	11.02	1.07	7.24

APPENDIX B.

SUMMARY OF REGRESSION RESULTS WITH MONEY INCOME AS THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLE

Table B1. Russia (N=72)

1. Savings

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 -12.1830 19.37277 0.821021 0.169257 0.009445	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 32.38444 44.69112 0.678790 0.199204 0.016378
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 333.9105 130.1418 0.304397 0.201517 0.036410	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 522.7727 190.7247 0.165177 0.188074 0.050536
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 743.5917 245.5567 0.228071 0.237953 0.052323	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1990 926.8595 299.0457 0.157466 0.202041 0.055858
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1991 1354.973 411.2812 0.112484 0.112913 0.037908		

2. Sales of Alcoholic Beverages in State Retail Trade in Rubles

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 10.73223 10.21496 0.884064 0.115066 0.004980	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 22.41607 14.85626 0.857041 0.111534 0.005444
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 60.90156 29.81681 0.670509 0.099562 0.008341	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 92.70058 35.12406 0.439165 0.068904 0.009306
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared Coefficient(s) Err of Coef.	1989 142.4878 48.28454 0.099992 0.028691 0.010288	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1990 172.4235 53.88400 0.057784 0.020854 0.010064
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1991 221.2884 85.27027 0.071217 0.018240 0.007873		

3. Consumption of 100% Alcohol in Liters

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 1.034987 0.963425 0.887799 0.008309 0.000353	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 4.928378 1.255045 0.620851 0.003759 0.000351
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 5.832961 1.436355 0.203154 0.001607 0.000380	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 3.767246 1.167955 0.054292 0.000498 0.000248
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1990 4.056300 1.141030 0.065890 0.000473 0.000213	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1991 4.396636 1.334794 0.019757 0.000146 0.000123

4. Consumption of Vodka in Liters

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 2.300209 2.580547 0.668664 0.011240 0.000945	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 6.912178 3.057414 0.381739 0.005623 0.000855
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 6.335048 3.151236 0.300524 0.004579 0.000834	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 8.070842 2.745377 0.101690 0.001646 0.000584
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1990 9.07737 2.44126 0.000229 0.000055 0.000437	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1991 10.40827 3.031080 0.001997 -0.00010 0.000266

5. Consumption of Wine in Liters

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970	Year	1980
	4.749384	Constant	0.384717
	3.068172	Std Err of Y Est	3.745941
	0.440520	R Squared	0.485440
	0.008347	X Coefficient(s)	0.008516
	0.001124	Std Err of Coef.	0.001048
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 7.835611 3.829333 0.123742 0.003190 0.001014	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 4.944623 2.731316 0.021141 0.000715 0.000581
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1990	Year	1991
	2.602091	Constant	2.034966
	2.265799	Std Err of Y Est	1.897859
	0.061658	R Squared	0.043465
	0.000907	X Coefficient(s)	0.000312
	0.000423	Std Err of Coef.	0.000175

6. Consumption of Beer in Liters

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 6.270226 6.412804 0.250584 0.011370 0.002350	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 13.32105 8.169863 0.079646 0.005625 0.002285
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 14.05737 7.778117 0.077388 0.004994 0.002060	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 14.53561 9.760613 0.015838 0.002207 0.002079
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1990 14.96008 10.83614 0.020795 0.002467 0.002024	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1991 16.36346 10.01369 0.001531 0.000302 0.000924

7. State Retail Trade in Rubles

X Coefficient(s) 0.394747 Std Err of Coef 0.024725

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965	Year	1970
	112.2376	Constant	183.1528
	34.67737	Std Err of Y Est	50.36631
	0.943028	R Squared	0.921156
	0.575517	X Coefficient(s)	0.527872
	0.016907	Std Err of Coef.	0.018458
Year	1980	Year	1985
Constant	325.8747	Constant	455.3181
Std Err of Y Est	78.24674	Std Err of Y Est	86.03390
R Squared	0.871995	R Squared	0.824496
X Coefficient(s)	0.478041	X Coefficient(s)	0.413397
Std Err of Coef.	0.021891	Std Err of Coef.	0.022796
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared	1989 530.9100 116.0396 0.784536		

8. Sales of Food Products in State Retail Trade

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 63.05275 40.15876 0.827578 0.358895 0.019579	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 89.70682 35.32104 0.906344 0.336913 0.012944
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 162.0310 54.63591 0.822921 0.275695 0.015285	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 222.7428 62.68846 0.737385 0.232874 0.016610
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 302.8553 85.36741 0.588104 0.181852 0.018190		

9. Sales of NonFoods Products in State Retail Trade

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 49.18491 27.75241 0.785470 0.216621 0.013531	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 93.44604 29.40142 0.817743 0.190959 0.010775
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 163.8437 43.24364 0.799842 0.202345 0.012098	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 232.5753 53.83872 0.695828 0.180523 0.014265
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 228.0546 70.77910 0.740034 0.212895 0.015081		

10. State Public Dining

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 1.354491 6.685011 0.866100 0.069354 0.003259	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 7.586180 9.293418 0.827864 0.062491 0.003405
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 29.33344 14.26384 0.623382 0.042955 0.003990	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 38.35527 14.50387 0.558929 0.036195 0.003843
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 45.70781 15.53355 0.555281 0.030944 0.003309		

11. Consumer Services

Std Err of Y Est R Squared	1965 1.166812 1.961518 0.624524 0.010319 0.000956	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 4.005914 2.847906 0.663876 0.012272 0.001043
Std Err of Y Est R Squared	1980 10.99534 4.619610 0.609194 0.013500 0.001292	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 14.97932 5.617743 0.519700 0.012954 0.001488
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 26.44815 7.324297 0.487236 0.012728 0.001560		

12.Delivery of Bread to State Trade in Kg

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 189.2838 19.07121 0.289031 -0.04960 0.009298	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 174.0151 18.50344 0.208191 -0.02909 0.006781
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 153.1135 12.44749 0.231537 -0.01599 0.003482	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 149.8061 14.74241 0.200539 -0.01636 0.003906
Year Constant Std Err of Y Es R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 133.9549 13.84351 0.092209 -0.00786 0.002949		

13. Delivery of Fish to State Trade in Kg

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 5.36217 3.56330 0.31515 0.00986 0.00173	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef	1970 10.04167 4.376808 0.113498 0.004801 0.001604
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 4.963993 5.872999 0.227923 0.007469 0.001643	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 6.519227 6.226552 0.125283 0.005224 0.001649
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 0.510615 6.070575 0.258160 0.006384 0.001293		

14. Delivery of Eggs to State Trade in Units

<u> -</u>	1965 -18.8985 20.51989 0.549688 0.092481 0.010004	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 -26.7530 34.32370 0.501538 0.105568 0.012579
	1980 40.30603 37.62484 0.515401 0.090826 0.010526	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 93.54873 36.40726 0.353144 0.059635 0.009646
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 109.7418 40.33761 0.245571 0.041028 0.008595		

15. Consumption of Sugar in Kg

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 29.66415 4.155492 0.269062 0.010284 0.002026	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 35.31030 4.829502 0.172883 0.006770 0.001769
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 44.50347 5.401453 0.023512 0.001961 0.001511	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 42.74898 5.330118 0.049134 0.002686 0.001412
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 43.93818 5.445002 0.108632 0.003388 0.001160		

16. Delivery of Meat to State Retail Trade in Kg

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 -12.0981 4.766079 0.875963 0.051666 0.002323	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 -11.4719 6.470305 0.812366 0.041280 0.002371
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 -15.8129 9.800082 0.657753 0.031801 0.002741	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 -11.8646 10.91084 0.575454 0.028161 0.002891
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 -1.95117 9.954767 0.561448 0.020080 0.002121		

17. Delivery of Milk to State Retail Trade in Kg

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1965 7.512406 34.08345 0.714809 0.220115 0.016617	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 35.23402 36.38002 0.726440 0.181778 0.013332
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 46.55644 43.91782 0.578605 0.120460 0.012287	Year Cons Std Err R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 84.85874 44.08413 0.433961 0.005571 0.011680
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 137.0438 37.44193 0.546508 0.073276 0.007978		

Table B2. Ukraine (N=26)

1. Savings

Year	1989
Constant	616.9
Std Err of Y Est	191.3614
R Squared	0.197191
X Coefficient(s)	0.384795
Std Err of Coef.	0.158484

2. Alcoholic Beverages Sales in Rubles

Year	1970	Year	1989
Constant	-1.33544	Constant	5.144977
Std Err of Y Est	1.165738	Std Err of Y Est	33.36641
R Squared	0.782022	R Squared	0.175254
X Coefficient(s)	0.013737	X Coefficient(s)	0.062405
Std Err of Coef.	0.001480	Std Err of Coef.	0.027633

3. Consumption of 100% Alcohol in Liters

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980	Year	1990
	-0.62576	Constant	0.193276
	1.306456	Std Err of Y Est	0.933187
	0.400105	R Squared	0.178415
	0.005878	X Coefficient(s)	0.001764
	0.001469	Std Err of Coef.	0.000773
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 0.936386 1.218166 0.128193 0.003000 0.001597		

4. State Retail Trade in Rubles

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 -3.13787 38.45855 0.911568 0.768244 0.048843	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 -280.220 94.16524 0.706963 0.939508 0.123468
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1975 -110.303 52.82015 0.903058 0.888501 0.059422	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1990 -72.5727 137.3203 0.639361 0.741840 0.113728
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 -222.397 72.45311 0.852289 0.958951 0.081489		

5. Sales of Food in State Trade in Rubles

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 -53.8928 23.25609 0.917926 0.483899 0.029535	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 -134.737 67.85079 0.592628 0.332039 0.056193
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 -226.994 58.41116 0.642130 0.502594 0.076588		

6. NonFood Sales in State Trade in Rubles.

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 27.36776 22.08929 0.857948 0.337757 0.028053	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 -279.399 46.61667 0.670228 0.269640 0.038607
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 -29.2505 65.17631 0.611052 0.524754 0.085458		

7. Public Dining in Rubles

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 12.87022 8.866712 0.593170 0.066613 0.011260	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 36.00731 15.98096 0.138994 0.041244 0.020954
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1975 16.79299 11.53351 0.487821 0.062034 0.012975	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1989 74.09232 18.27668 0.043934 0.015896 0.015136

8. Services in Rubles*

Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1970 -1.79343 2.713023 0.695373 0.025503 0.003445	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1985 -0.75222 4.737938 0.453039 0.027698 0.006212
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1975 -1.11345 3.454040 0.748683 0.032856 0.003885	Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1990 6.989577 4.660790 0.614993 0.023900 0.003860
Year Constant Std Err of Y Est R Squared X Coefficient(s) Std Err of Coef.	1980 -7.25177 3.754952 0.702987 0.031830 0.004223		

^{*} Both 1970 and 1975 data are given in "comparable prices" of different base years

9. Sales of Meat in State Trade in Rubles

Year	1970	Year	1989
Constant	-0.02600	Constant	-81.4621
Std Err of Y Est	0.003156	Std Err of Y Est	8.221700
R Squared	0.919162	R Squared	0.768054
X Coefficient(s)	0.066214	X Coefficient(s)	60.25879
Std Err of Coef.	0.004008	Std Err of Coef.	.759450
10. Sales of Sausages	s in State Tra	<u>ide in Rubles</u>	
	1000		1000
Year	1970	Year	1989
Constant	-0.02075	Constant	-72.9244
Std Err of Y Est	0.003947	Std Err of Y Est	8.495774
R Squared	0.833340	R Squared	0.733629
<pre>X Coefficient(s)</pre>	0.054919	X Coefficient(s)	56.78761
Std Err of Coef.	0.005013	Std Err of Coef.	0.984780

11. Sales of Milk in State Trade in Rubles

Year	1970	Year	1989
Constant	-0.01769	Constant	-29.6988
Std Err of Y Est	0.002761	Std Err of Y Est	3.759872
R Squared	0.892715	R Squared	0.769906
X Coefficient(s)	0.049566	X Coefficient(s)	27.70097
Std Err of Coef.	0.003507	Std Err of Coef.	0.091170

12. Butter Sales in State Trade in Rubles

Year	1970	Year	1989
Constant	-0.00567	Constant	-26.7416
Std Err of Y Est	0.001290	Std Err of Y Est	2.256513
R Squared	0.891190	R Squared	0.846394
X Coefficient(s)	0.022980	<pre>X Coefficient(s)</pre>	21.33415
Std Err of Coef.	0.001639	Std Err of Coef.	0.855186

13. Sugar Sales in State Trade in Rubles

Year	1970	Year	1989
Constant	14.93986	Constant	22.79481
Std Err of Y Est	2.987662	Std Err of Y Est	1.056616
R Squared	0.195617	R Squared	0.003718
<pre>X Coefficient(s)</pre>	9.166841	X Coefficient(s)	0.259995
Std Err of Coef.	3.794388	Std Err of Coef.	.868694

14. Fish Sales n State Trade in Rubles

Year	1970	Year	1989
Constant	-2.31816	Constant	-13.3622
Std Err of Y Est	1.515180	Std Err of Y Est	2.020875
R Squared	0.712320	R Squared	0.679919
X Coefficient(s)	0.014834	<pre>X Coefficient(s)</pre>	0.011950
Std Err of Coef.	0.00192	Std Err of Coef.	0.001673

15. Eggs Sales in State Trade in Rubles

Year	1970	Year	1989
Constant	-9.11334	Constant	-12.3043
Std Err of Y Est	1.209022	Std Err of Y Est	2.769308
R Squared	0.846578	R Squared	0.482322
X Coefficient(s)	0.017670	X Coefficient(s)	0.010845
Std Err of Coef	0.001535	Std Err of Coef	0.002293

APPENDIX C. EXTENDING OUR ANALYSIS TO AN EARLIER PERIOD

As was mentioned earlier the choice of the benchmark year in our study, i.e., 1965 for Russia and 1970 for Ukraine, was dictated by data availability but it would have been interesting to extend the study to an earlier year.

Regression analyses of Russia for 1965 and Ukraine for 1970 show a high degree of correlation between per capita money income of the population and per capita aggregate consumer retail trade sales. This suggests that trade data could be substituted for the income statistics for years for which the latter were not available.

We tested this observation by testing relationships between per capita retail sales as the independent variable and per capita sales of six food products in 85 oblast and key cities in Russia in 1957 (TsSU, SOVETSKAYA..., 1958, pp. 238-339), the earliest year for which detailed regional data are available. In the absence of regional population data for 1957 we used the 1959 population census statistics (TsSU, NASELENIYE..., 1975, pp. 14-35).

As can be seen from the summary below, the results of the test were both interesting and reasonable: we found a high degree of correspondence (R^2 between 0.8 and 0.9) for such basic product groups as meat, milk, sugar, and alcoholic beverages, a lower R^2 for low-income elasticity bread, and still lower R^2 for salt. The results are also close to what we found running regressions on these products over money income in Russia and Ukraine in 1965 and 1970.

There is, however, one important exception: sales of sugar regressed over trade in 1957 yields a high R² of 0.842 while sugar regressed over money income for Russia in 1965 shows a R² of 0.269 and for Ukraine in 1970 the R² is 0.200. But this exception should have been expected and, in an indirect way, validates our analysis and conclusions. Sugar, a relatively expensive commodity in the Soviet Union because of the high turnover tax, should under normal circumstances display a high degree of correspondence with income (or, its proxy, total trade sales) as indeed it did in our test in 1957. The establishment of close friendly relations with the socialist Cuba in the late 1950s led, among other things, to an expansion of imports of Cuban raw sugar and a rapid growth of its supply in the USSR. As supply grew the home distillers of "samogon" (moonshine) began switching from other inputs such as potatoes, flour, and grains to sugar -- it was estimated that in the 1970s and 1980s between 15 and 20 percent of sugar sold in retail trade in the USSR was diverted from direct human consumption into the illegal home production of alcohol. This development changed the parameters of demand for sugar in the USSR and probably explains the drop in the R² observed between 1957 and 1965.

We can conclude from this evidence that in all probability stable and predictable relations between money income of the population and consumption of different goods and services existed as far back as the late 1950s.

Table C1. REGRESSIONS OF PER CAPITA SALES OF VARIOUS FOOD PRODUCTS OVER TOTAL RETAIL SALES USED AS A PROXY FOR INCOME, 1957, RUSSIA (N=85)³⁴

<u>Meat</u>		<u>Alcohol</u>	
Constant	-143.019	Constant	83.77911
Std Err of Y Est	47.8161	Std Err of Y Est	115.4318
R Squared	0.893881	R Squared	0.785369
X Coefficient(s)	0.09396	X Coefficient(s)	0.149500
Std Err of Coef.	0.003553	Std Err of Coef.	0.008578
Milk		Sugar	
Constant	-66.8968	Constant	-8.61848
Std Err of Y Est	32.34133	Std Err of Y Est	30.32624
R Squared	0.789588	R Squared	0.841486
X Coefficient(s)	0.042417	X Coefficient(s)	0.047308
Std Err of Coef.	0.002403	Std Err of Coef.	0.002253
Bread		Salt	
Constant	93.77747	Constant	5.113032
Std Err of Y Est	59.06044	Std Err of Y Est	1.122741
R Squared	0.512817	R Squared	0.045767
X Coefficient(s)	0.041025	X Coefficient(s)	-0.000160
Std Err of Coef.	0.004389	Std Err of Coef.	0.000083
Dea Hil of Coci.	0.001307	Dea Hil of Coci.	0.00000

Another confirmation of the useability of the trade data for income is seen in the following test. We repeated regressions on 1965 per capita sales of three food products in Russia with total retail trade substituted for income. The R^2 's so calculated were: alcohol in rubles - 0.791, meat - 0.943, milk - 0.806, and bread - 0.255, that is corresponding closely to R^2 's obtained with food over income regressions (Table 1).

REFERENCES

- Michael Alexeev, "A Note on Privileges in a Queue-Rationed CPE with Black Markets," JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC THEORY, 47, 2:422-430, 1989
- Michael Alexeev, "Are Soviet Consumers Forced to Save?" COMPARATIVE ECONOMIC STUDIES, 30, 4:17-23, 1988
- V. F. Anurin, "Tainy vklada," SOTSIOLOGICHESKIYE ISSLEDOVANIYA, # 2, 1988, pp. 53-60
- A. Ya. Boiarskii et al., eds., STATISTICHESKIY SLOVAR', Moscow, 1986
- Richard Ericson, "The 'Second Economy' and Resource Allocation under Central Planning," JOURNAL OF COMPARATIVE ECONOMICS, 8, 1:1-24, March 1984
- Richard Ericson, "On an Allocative Role of the Soviet Second Economy," in Padma Desai, ed., MARXISM, CENTRAL PLANNING, AND THE SOVIET ECONOMY: ECONOMIC ESSAYS IN HONOR OF ALEXANDER ERLICH, MIT Press, 1983, pp. 110-32
- Ira Gang, and Edward Tower, "The Stahl-Alexeev Paradox: A Note," JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC THEORY, 44:189-191, 1988
- V. F. Garbuzov et al., eds., FINANSOVO-KREDITNYI SLOVAR', Moscow, 1984
- Gosbank SSSR, INSTRUKTSIYA O PORYADKE SOVERSHENIYA GOSUDARSTVENNYMI TRUDOVYMI SBEREGATEL'NYMI KASSAMI SSSR OPERATSIY PO VKLADAM NASELENIYA, Moscow, 1981
- Goskomitet SNG, STRANY-CHLENY SNG, STATISTICHESKIY EZHEGODNIK, Moscow, 1992
- Goskomstat RSFSR, POKAZATELI SOTSIAL'NOGO RAZVITIYA AVTONOMNYKH RESPUBLIK, KRAYEV AND OBLASTEY RSFSR, Moscow, 1990
- Goskomstat RSFSR, POKAZATELI SOTSIAL'NOGO RAZVITIYA AVTONOMNYKH RESPUBLIK, KRAYEV AND OBLASTEY RSFSR, Moscow, 1992
- Goskomstat RSFSR, TORGOVLYA V RSFSR V 1986-1990 GG., Moscow, 1991
- Goskomstat SSSR, NARODNOYE KHOZYASTVO SSSR V 1990 GODU, Moscow, 1991

- Goskomstat SSSR, SOTSIAL'NOYE RAZVITIYE I UROVEN' ZHIZNI NASELENIYA SSSR, Moscow, 1989
- Goskomstat SSSR, SOTSIAL'NOYE RAZVITIYE SSSR, Moscow, 1990
- Goskomstat SSSR, SOTSIAL'NOYE RAZVITIYE SSSR, Moscow, 1991
- Goskomstat SSSR, PRESS-VYPUSK # 220, July 26, 1991
- Goskomstat UkSSR, NARODNOYE KHOZYAISTVO UKRAINSKOY SSR V 1989 GODU, Kiev, 1990
- Goskomstat UkSSR, ROZDRIBNA TORHIVLYA UKRAINSKOI SSR V 1989 R., Kiiv, 1990
- Goskomstat UkSSR, SPRAVOCHNIK PO TORGOVLE UKRAINSKOY SSR, Kiev, 1990
- Gosplan, TsSU, Ministerstvo Finansov, Gosbank, METODICHESKIYE UKAZANIYA K SOSTAVLENIYU BALANSA DENEZHNYKH DOKHODOV I RASKHODOV NASELENIYA, Moscow, 1982
- Gregory Grossman, "Roots of Gorbachev's Problems: Private Income and Outlay in the Late 1970s," Joint Economic Committee, US Congress, GORBACHEV'S ECONOMIC PLANS, Volume 1, Washington, DC, 1987, pp. 213-229
- Gregory Grossman, "The Second Economy in the USSR and Eastern Europe: A Bibliography "BERKELEY-DUKE OCCASIONAL PAPERS ON THE SECOND ECONOMY IN THE USSR, # 21, July 1990
- Gregory Grossman, "The Second Economy in the USSR," PROBLEMS OF COMMUNISM, 26, 5, September/October 1977, pp. 25-40
- Gregory Grossman, "Notes on the Illegal Private Economy and Corruption," in SOVIET ECONOMY IN A TIME OF CHANGE, U.S., Joint Economic Committee, US Congress, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1979, pp. 834-855
- Gregory Grossman, "The 'Shadow Economy' in the Socialist Sector of the USSR," in THE CMEA FIVE-YEAR PLANS (1981-1985) IN NEW PERSPECTIVE, NATO Colloquium, Brussels, 1982, pp. 99-115
- Tatiana Koriagina, VOPROSY EKONOMIKI, # 3, 1990, pp.110-119
- A. Kronman, "Contract Law and the State of Nature," JOURNAL OF LAW, ECONOMICS, AND ORGANIZATION, 1, 1, Spring 1985

- Stanislav Men'shikov, SOVSETSKAYA EKONOMIKA: KATASTROFA ILI KATARSIS, Moscow, 1990
- James R. Millar, "The Big Nail and Other Stories: Product Quality Control in the Soviet Union," ACES BULLETIN, 26,1:43-57, 1984
- James R. Millar, POLITICS, WORK AND DAILY LIFE IN THE USSR. A SURVEY OF FORMER SOVIET CITIZENS, Cambridge, 1987
- John M. Montias, and Susan Rose-Ackerman, "Corruption in a Soviet-Type Economy:
 Theoretical Considerations," in S. Rosefielde, ed., ECONOMIC WELFARE AND THE
 ECONOMICS OF SOVIET SOCIALISM, Cambridge, 1981
- M. G. Nazarov, ed., KURS SOTSIAL'NO-EKONOMICHESKOI STATISTIKI, Moscow, 1982
- Gur Ofer and Aaron Vinokur, THE SOVIET HOUSEHOLD UNDER THE OLD REGIME, Cambridge, Mass., 1991
- "Protiv tenevoi ekonomiki," PRAVDA May 28, 1991, p. 2
- Valeriy M. Rutgaizer. "The Shadow Economy in the USSR: Part 1. A Survey of Soviet Research; Part 2. Sizing up the Shadow Economy: Review and Analysis of Soviet Estimates." BERKELEY-DUKE OCCASIONAL PAPERS ON THE SECOND ECONOMY IN THE USSR, # 34, February 1992
- Stanislav Shatalin et al, PEREKHOD K RYNKU, KONTSEPTSIYA I PROGRAMMA, Part 1, Arkhangel'skoye, Moscow, August 1990
- Dale Stahl and Michael Alexeev, "The Influence of Black Markets on a Queue-Rationed Centrally Planned Economy," JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC THEORY, 35, 2:234-50, 1985
- Vladimir G. Treml. "Alcohol in the Soviet Underground Economy." BERKELEY-DUKE OCCASIONAL PAPERS ON THE SECOND ECONOMY IN THE USSR, # 5, December 1985
- Vladimir G. Treml. "A Study of Labor Inputs into the Second Economy of the USSR."

 BERKELEY-DUKE OCCASIONAL PAPERS ON THE SECOND ECONOMY IN
 THE USSR, # 33, January 1992
- TsSU, NASELENYE SSSR V 1973 GODU, Moscow 1975
- TsSU, SOVETSKAYA TORGOVLYA V RSFSR, Moscow, 1958

TsSU UkSSR, RADYANSKA TORHIVLYA V UKRAINSKOI SSR, Kiiv, 1971

S. Wellisz, and R. Findley, "Central Planning and the 'Second Economy' in Soviet-Type Systems," ECONOMIC JOURNAL, 96, 383:646-58, September 1986